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ABSTRACT
The objective of this study is to examine the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of swimming pool water in Mafraq city, north of Jordan and the overall quality of the used water. Three public swimming pools were selected from Mafraq city [Areef Pool (SW1), Teachers Club Pool (SW2) and Anakeel Pool (SW3)] to analyze the physical, chemical and biological properties of their water as well as determine their compliance with the Jordanian Standards for Swimming Pools Water. Sampling was carried out weekly for eight successive weeks between July and August 2019 before bathing (after disinfection) and after bathing and analysed in Al al-Bayt University and Ministry of Environment laboratories. The parameters used to evaluate the quality of water in swimming pools were temperature, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), dissolved oxygen (DO), residual chlorine (Cl₂), total organic carbon (TOC), trihalomethanes (THM), major cations and anions, selected heavy metals, and total coliform bacteria, E. coli and Pseudomonas. Most of the physical and chemical parameters analysed were within the recommended limit except for pH and EC. Residual chlorine exceeded the permissible limits in SW3 before and after bathing, recording mean values of pH, EC (4.3 ± 0.25 - 4.33 ± 0.44), (2314 ± 343 - 2453 ± 460), respectively. The dissolved oxygen was less than the recommended limit. Total coliforms, E. coli and Pseudomonas counts were < 1 before and after bathing in all the samples.

INTRODUCTION
Swimming is one of the best sports that people of all ages can practice in their lives to maintain good health, especially for children with asthma. This is because inhaling moist air is less conductive to triggering exercise-induced asthma (Nemery et al. 2002).

Swimming pools are used by a wide range of people of various ages, health statuses and standards of hygiene (Public and Environment Health 1998). The water in swimming pools contains many microorganisms and undesirable dissolved chemical substances from several sources, including swimmers, animals, and debris like leaves, grass and dust (Popadopoulou et al. 2008). It contains many undesirable pollutants, such as skin cells, urine, sweat, saliva, ammonia, nose and throat secretions, and cosmetics. Urine and sweat are the most important components of swimming pools, and their presence spreads diseases and infections linked to the faecal contamination of water.

The increased concentration of some ions originating from pollutants, such as nitrates and phosphates, leads to algal growth. As the algae die, it decomposes and consume the dissolved oxygen in the water. This creates an anaerobic reaction that forms substances like acid, methane gas, and some harmful organic compounds.

These pollutants in swimming-pool water are removed via several physical and chemical methods, such as filtration, algacides, disinfection, coagulants, acids and alkalis, and balancing the water pH.

One mechanical method for cleaning swimming-pool water is filtration, in which a filter removes virtually all particle matter, so the water remains clear enough for continued use in the pool. Algaecide (C₅H₁₂NOCl), which is cationic, polymeric, and non-foaming, is used in swimming pools to control the growth of algal bloom and slime. After the use of algaecide, the pool water is filtered quickly to prevent the algae from depositing (www.okchem.com) (https://www.okchem.com/product/ndimethyl2hydroxypropylammonium-chloride-polymer-25988970-201811051257620.html).

Disinfection is the most important way to remove pathogens from swimming pools and minimize the risk to pool users from microbial contaminants. It involves adding chlorine gas or its derivatives, such as sodium hypochlorite, calcium hypochlorite, chloroisocyanurate, or trichloroisocyanuric acids (Yan et al. 2018).

One problem associated with the disinfection of water using a chlorine product is the accumulation of potentially toxic and disinfection by-products (DBPs) that result from the reaction of chlorine with organic matter, such as humic
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and fulvic acids, which include chloramines, trihalomethanes (THMs), and haloacetic acids (HAAs) (Lempart et al. 2018, Chowdhury et al. 2014). Coagulants may be added as part of the water treatment process to enhance the removal of dissolved, colloidal, or suspended material. Acids and alkalis may also be added to the water to maintain an appropriate pH for optimal water treatment and the comfort of swimmers (WHO 2006).

Many studies have been conducted on swimming-water quality in different parts of the world. In Nigeria, Omoni et al. (2019) found that most of the physiochemical parameters analysed were within the recommended limits, except for residual chlorine, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, and total dissolved solids, which exceeded the permissible limit in swimming pools both before and after swimming, while two of the swimming pools studied increased in total coliforms (150% and 86%) and faecal coliform (105% and 22%) after swimming. In Ghana, Addo et al. (2018) found that the mean residual chlorine was significantly higher in the VH pool (1.357±1.09) than the SH (0.353 ± 0.45) and RS (0.95 ± 0.93) pools, while the total coliforms, total dissolved solids (TDSs), and pH were within acceptable limits.

Ferres et al. (2016) found the mean values of residual chlorine and pH to be outside the recommended ranges, while the other physiochemical parameters, such as dissolved solids, turbidity, and electrical conductivity, were within established sanitary standards. The microbiological test showed that 30% of the pools tested had an average value for heterotrophic organisms of 107 CFU/mL, higher than the limits set by Venezuelan law. Of the pools studied, 75% and 60% presented at least one positive test tube for total and faecal coliforms, respectively.

Fadaei et al. (2013) found that the values of biological parameters for the swimming pools they tested, such as total faecal coliform, Escherichia coli, Legionella, Pseudomonas and heterotrophic organisms, exceeded the guidelines, except for Staphylococcus aureus. While the correlation coefficient between the swimmer’s load and the total faecal coliforms and heterotrophic bacteria was (0.949), the turbidity, free residual chlorine, and hardness of all the swimming pools were out of compliance with the standard guidelines.

Bilahac et al. (2012) analysed the free chlorine, pH, and some microbiological parameters in indoor pool waters in hotels. According to the results, 82.8% of their samples were microbiologically unacceptable, with free chlorine levels below 0.2 mg/L. They suggested that to reduce microbial risks to an acceptable level, the level of free chlorine above 0.2 mg/L.

Sagarat et al. (2012) investigated the water quality of swimming pools in Amman, Jordan. They found that the major inorganic chemical composition (HOC\textsuperscript{3-}, Cl\textsuperscript{-}, NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, Na\textsuperscript{+}, and PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3-}), BOD and COD was acceptable according to both Jordanian and WHO standards.

The present study was performed to determine the microbiology quality as well as some physical and chemical quality parameters of the water of swimming pools of Mafraq City, Jordan. The objective was to identify whether the
swimming pools complied with WHO and Jordanian water standards (JS1526/2004). This study will provide an initial collection of data about the quality of public swimming pools in Mafraq and examine the risk factors associated with using these pools. This study is an attempt to recommend reformatory measures to safeguard swimmers from the health risks associated with swimming in unsterilized pools.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The study area is located in the city of Mafraq in northern Jordan (Fig. 1). Sampling was done of the three pools most used by swimmers of different ages: Areef (SW1), Teachers’ Club (SW2), and Anakeel (SW3). These pools are supplied with high quality potable groundwater from the Amman-Zarqa Basin. The swimming pools are supplied with water at the beginning of the summer once without change, with more water added if the water level in the pools is reduced due to evaporation resulting from high temperatures in the study area, which during summer sometimes exceed 40°C.

Samples of pool water were collected twice a day after disinfection, once at 7 AM, before swimming activities begin, and once at 7 PM, when swimming activities end. Water sampling was done using two types of container: a 250 mL glass container for the microbiological test and a 1 L polyethylene container for the analytical test. The samples were collected below the water surface and placed in cold storage (4-10°C) immediately after collection. The samples were transported to the Institute of Earth and Environment Sciences Laboratories at Al al-Bayt University and analysed within 24 hours.

Physical and Chemical Analyses

Tables 1 and 2 show the physical and chemical characteristics of the studied swimming pools before and after swimming. The analyses included temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (DO, mg/L), electrical conductivity (EC, μS/cm), and pH and salinity, which were measured on-site using a WTW conductivity meter (Multi3320). Residual chlorine and total organic carbon (TOC) were determined by a spectrophotometer 7600 (DIN EN ISO 7393-2 and DIN38402A51), respectively. Trihalomethane (THM) samples were analysed using Gas Chromatography (Trace-GC). The major cations, such as total hardness (TH) and Ca²⁺, were measured using the EDTA titrimetric method, and Mg²⁺ by calculation. Na⁺ and K⁺ were determined using a flame photometer. Cl⁻ and HCO₃⁻ were measured with silver nitrate and sulfuric acid titrimetric method, respectively. NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻ and PO₄³⁻ were analysed using an ultraviolet spectrometric method. Heavy metals, such as Mn, Zn, Cu, Cr and Pb, were measured using an Inductivity Coupled Plasma ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer). Preparation and measurements were performed according to standard methods (APHA 2005).

Biological Analysis

Microbiological contamination using defined substrate technology detected the presence of total coliforms, Escherichia, and Pseudomonas per 100 mL. Total coliforms, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas were determined using the Coliler-18 (Quanti-Tray/2000) and Pseudalert® (Quanti-Tray/2000) methods, respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical and Chemical Parameters of the Swimming Pools

From Table 1 and Fig. 2, it can be seen that the mean temperature of the swimming pools’ water samples was within the acceptable limits set by JS and WHO of 21-32°C; it ranged from 24.4°C to 26.3°C before swimming and 26.3°C to 26.7°C after swimming.

Fig. 2: Temperature (°C), pH, and DO (mg/L).
Table 1: Mean values and standard deviations of physical and chemical characteristics of the studied swimming pools before and after swimming.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>SW1B (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>SW1A (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>SW2B (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>SW2A (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>SW3B (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>SW3A (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>JS and WHO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(°C)</td>
<td>24.4±0.42</td>
<td>25.9±0.62</td>
<td>26.7±0.46</td>
<td>28.8±1.21</td>
<td>26.7±1.08</td>
<td>27.5±0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pH</strong></td>
<td>8.71±0.15</td>
<td>7.74±0.77</td>
<td>7.82±0.37</td>
<td>7.81±0.37</td>
<td>4.95±0.49</td>
<td>4.6±0.44</td>
<td>7.2–7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dissolved O₂ (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>2.04±0.02</td>
<td>1.03±0.02</td>
<td>3.07±0.02</td>
<td>1.03±0.02</td>
<td>2.06±0.03</td>
<td>0.03±0.02</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EC (μS/cm)</strong></td>
<td>1179±0.04</td>
<td>1635±0.06</td>
<td>1421±0.08</td>
<td>1710±0.08</td>
<td>2900±0.08</td>
<td>3190±0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salinity (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>358.6±0.12</td>
<td>576.4±0.12</td>
<td>473.9±0.12</td>
<td>589.2±0.12</td>
<td>813.3±0.12</td>
<td>1056.6±0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THM (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>30.2±0.12</td>
<td>19.6±0.12</td>
<td>27.7±0.12</td>
<td>18.8±0.12</td>
<td>20.2±0.12</td>
<td>30.2±0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residual Chlorine (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>2.59±0.09</td>
<td>0.15±0.01</td>
<td>2.8±0.11</td>
<td>2.0±0.11</td>
<td>0.5±0.11</td>
<td>0.16±0.01</td>
<td>1–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Na⁺ (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>187.8±0.12</td>
<td>249.9±0.12</td>
<td>148.6±0.12</td>
<td>143.6±0.12</td>
<td>313.1±0.12</td>
<td>315.1±0.12</td>
<td>200–300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K⁺ (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>18.2±0.12</td>
<td>18.4±0.12</td>
<td>9.9±0.12</td>
<td>21.7±0.12</td>
<td>17.0±0.12</td>
<td>17.7±0.12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ca²⁺ (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>155.0±0.12</td>
<td>148.9±0.12</td>
<td>138.7±0.12</td>
<td>130.6±0.12</td>
<td>142.8±0.12</td>
<td>163.2±0.12</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mg²⁺ (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>31.6±0.12</td>
<td>36.5±0.12</td>
<td>46.2±0.12</td>
<td>38.9±0.12</td>
<td>51.03±0.12</td>
<td>60.75±0.12</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cl⁻ (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>198.5±0.12</td>
<td>319.1±0.12</td>
<td>262.3±0.12</td>
<td>326.1±0.12</td>
<td>450.2±0.12</td>
<td>584.9±0.12</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCO₃⁻ (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>171.0±0.12</td>
<td>158.8±0.12</td>
<td>183.21±0.12</td>
<td>158.8±0.12</td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO₃⁻ (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>35.6±0.12</td>
<td>24.6±0.12</td>
<td>27.37±0.12</td>
<td>23.6±0.12</td>
<td>39.6±0.12</td>
<td>39.6±0.12</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PO₄³⁻ (mg/L)</strong></td>
<td>0.092±0.038</td>
<td>0.391±0.038</td>
<td>0.194±0.038</td>
<td>0.671±0.038</td>
<td>0.403±0.038</td>
<td>0.342±0.038</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of the most important items to test in swimming water is \( \text{pH} \). The mean values of the swimming pools’ water ranged between 4.33 in SW3 and 8.1 in SW1 before swimming and from 4.3 in SW3 to 7.73 in SW1 after swimming (Fig. 2). The \( \text{pH} \) for SW1B, SW2A, and SW3 was not within the recommended standard of 7.2-7.8. All \( \text{pH} \) values for SW3 were less than 5, due to excessive chlorination resulting from using trichloroisocyanuric acid (\( \text{C}_3\text{Cl}_3\text{N}_3\text{O}_3 \)) in the disinfection process; the trichloroisocyanuric acid raised the \( \text{pH} \) of the water. It was found that the soda ash (\( \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 \)) used to neutralize the \( \text{pH} \) was insufficient, causing burns on the skin of some children who used this pool (Fig. 3). It was noted during sample collection that the managers of SW3 evacuated the pool and disinfected the water every 3 hours, which in turn affected the health of the pool users.

Dissolved oxygen is probably the most critical quality parameter in swimming-pool water. The \( \text{DO} \) level can indicate how polluted the water is and how well it can support aquatic plant and animal life (Omoni et al. 2019). The \( \text{DO} \) content was significantly lower than the acceptable standard (7.5 mg/L); it ranged between 2.03 mg/L and 3.036 mg/L before swimming and between 0.0023 mg/L and 1.024 mg/L after swimming (Fig. 2). This reduction is due to its consumption by bacteria, which decompose the organic pollutants in swimming pools. Generally, a higher \( \text{DO} \) level indicates better water quality and healthier sampled pools. The depletion of \( \text{DO} \) in water could facilitate the microbial conversion of nitrates (WHO 2008), and a low level of \( \text{DO} \) in pool water is not healthy for humans.

Fig. 4 shows the mean values of EC and salinity. The mean value of EC ranged between 1075 \( \mu \text{S/cm} \) and 2314 \( \mu \text{S/cm} \) before swimming and between 1217 \( \mu \text{S/cm} \) and 2453 \( \mu \text{S/cm} \) after swimming. The mean value of the salinity ranged between 310.6 mg/L and 658.12 mg/L before swimming and between 376.5 mg/L and 765.9 mg/L after swimming. These values are within the permissible limits set by JS and WHO, except for SW3, which exceeded the recommended standards due to the high percentage of dissolved salt in the water and the addition of pollutants from swimmers.

Table 1 and Fig. 5 show the residual level of chlorine concentration before swimming, which is higher than the level after swimming. The mean values of the residual chlorine ranged between 0.26 and 2.26 mg/L before swimming and

![Fig. 3: Burns on the bodies of some children attending SW3.](image)

![Fig. 4: EC (\( \mu \text{S/cm} \)) and salinity (mg/L).](image)
between 0.117 and 1 mg/L after swimming. The results show that the mean residual chlorine was significantly higher in SW2 than in the other pools (SW1 and SW3). The obtained results were within the standards set by WHO and JS. In this study, the main reason for low residual chlorine is the consumption of chlorine in killing microbes in the water and its interaction with organic substances, forming undesirable compounds, such as THMs and HAAs.

Swimming pools must be disinfected to prevent the spread of disease among swimmers and the unwanted growth of bacteria and algae. When chlorine comes into contact with organic matter, it may result in the production of undesirable and dangerous substances for human health and the environment, such as THMs (chloroform, bromodichloromethane, dibromochloromethane and bromoform) and HAAs. In this study, the mean concentration of TOC before swimming ranged between 39.2 mg/L and 54.86 mg/L, and of THMs between 0.045 mg/L and 0.062 mg/L, and after swimming ranged between 28.7 mg/L and 41.26 mg/L and between 0.036 mg/L and 0.053 mg/L, respectively (Fig. 5). Because it is an indoor pool, SW1 showed a higher concentration of THMs than did other pools, but no pool exceeded permissible limits, as most of the chlorine was used to remove pathogens and react with TOC to form THM and HAA compounds. THMs are naturally volatile and can evaporate from the water, a process that depends on several factors, including their concentration in the water, the temperature, the amount of splashing, and surface disturbance (WHO 2006). As shown in Fig. 5, THMs increased with acidity, due to a longer reaction time and higher chlorination dosage (Wang et al. 2015). Potential THM formation increases with the concentration of TOC. The yield of THMs increased with pH, which ranged from 5 to 10 (Wang et al. 2015). This was inconsistent with the observations of other researchers (Zhang et al. 2010).

TH, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, K$^+$, Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, HCO$_3^-$, NO$_3^-$, and SO$_4^{2-}$ in all pools were within the recommended standards; HCO$_3^-$ was

![Fig. 5: Mean pH and mean concentrations of TOC, Residual chlorine and THM (mg/L).](image1)

![Fig. 6: Concentration of Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ (mg/L).](image2)
Table 2: Mean concentrations of the measured heavy metals (DL: Detection limit).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SW1B</th>
<th>SW1A</th>
<th>SW2B</th>
<th>SW2A</th>
<th>SW3B</th>
<th>SW3A</th>
<th>JS&amp;WHO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B (mg/L)</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn (mg/L)</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.0227</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb (mg/L)</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

not. The concentration of $HCO_3^-$ was zero in SW3 because the mean value of its pH was less than 5 both before and after swimming. This study shows that the concentration of $PO_4^{3-}$ was higher than the recommended standards (<0.03 mg/L) in both SW1 and SW3 both before and after swimming (Table 1 and Figs. 6 and 7).

Table 2 shows the concentration of heavy metals B, Mn, Zn, Cu, Cr and Pb. All mean values measured were lower than the recommended standards for water used for drinking and recreation.

Microbiological Parameters

The results of the study indicate that the swimming water improved in total coliform, Escherichia and Pseudomonas before and after swimming due to the effectiveness of the disinfection process and compliance with JS and WHO <1/100mL.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study has provided information on the quality of the swimming water in some selected swimming pools in Mafraq City in Northern Jordan. Most parameters tested in SW3 did not meet the Jordanian or WHO standards for the physical and chemical quality of swimming pools, but most physical and chemical parameters in SW1 and SW2 were found to be within the permissible limits of both sets of standards. The microbiological loads of all the swimming pools, however, were acceptable according to both Jordanian and WHO standards. The THMs increase when the pH increases due to the longer reaction time and higher chlorination dosage.
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