

Potential of Struvite Recovery from Compost Leachate Using Multistage Processes

Bimastyaji Surya Ramadan^{1†}, Nurani Ikhlas², I. D. A. A. Warmadewanthi³ and Annisa Sila Puspita¹

¹Department of Environmental Engineering, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, 57127, Indonesia

²Environmental Research Center, Semarang, 50266, Indonesia

³Department of Environmental Engineering, Research Center for Infrastructure and Sustainable Environment, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Kampus ITS Sukolilo, Surabaya, 60111, Indonesia

†Corresponding author: Bimastyaji Surya Ramadan; bimastyaji@live.undip.ac.id

Abbreviation: Nat. Env. & Poll. Technol.
Website: www.neptjournal.com

Received: 06-01-2026

Revised: 26-02-2026

Accepted: 02-03-2026

Key Words:

Compost leachate
 Magnesium precipitation process
 Nutrient recovery
 Slow-release fertilizer
 Struvite crystallization

Citation for the Paper:

Ramadan, B.S., Ikhlas, N., Warmadewanthi, I.D.A.A. and Puspita, A.S., 2026. Potential of struvite recovery from compost leachate using multistage processes. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*, 25(3), D1899. <https://doi.org/10.46488/NEPT.2026.v25i03.D1899>

Note: From 2025, the journal has adopted the use of Article IDs in citations instead of traditional consecutive page numbers. Each article is now given individual page ranges starting from page 1.



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors

Licensee: Technoscience Publications

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

ABSTRACT

Leachates produced from composting activities often contain high levels of ammonium and phosphate. If left untreated, these compounds can pollute water bodies, but at the same time, they also represent nutrients that could be recovered and reused. This study explored the possibility of transforming composting leachate into struvite, a slow-release fertilizer, by applying sequential recovery processes. Initial characterization of the leachate showed high concentrations of phosphate (1179.8 mg.L⁻¹) and ammonium (312.5 mg.L⁻¹), while magnesium was almost absent (0.017 mg.L⁻¹), confirming the need for external magnesium addition. A series of experiments and PHREEQC simulations were carried out to examine the influence of magnesium sources (MgCl₂ and MgO), pH adjustment, molar ratios, and mixing conditions. The results showed that MgCl₂ consistently enhanced nutrient removal compared with MgO, with the best outcomes occurring at around pH 9 for ammonium and pH 10 for phosphate. Increasing the magnesium dosing ratio to 5:1:1 further improved precipitation, while controlled mixing (fast followed by slow) supported crystal formation. The presence of struvite crystals confirmed the effectiveness of the process. These findings suggest that composting leachate, which is often considered problematic waste, can be converted into a valuable product while reducing environmental risks.

1. INTRODUCTION

Composting is a widely practiced method for managing organic waste and converting biodegradable materials into stable, humus-like compost that can enhance soil fertility. Despite these benefits, composting processes also generate a significant amount of leachate, a dark and odorous liquid that often contains high levels of organic matter, ammonium, phosphate, and various dissolved ions (Priyambada et al. 2021). If left untreated, this leachate may pose serious environmental problems, including surface water eutrophication, groundwater contamination, and indirect greenhouse gas emissions when it infiltrates anaerobic environments (Puspita et al. 2024). Nitrogen and phosphorus are particularly concerning, as ammonium (NH₄⁺) can exert toxic effects on aquatic ecosystems and contribute to nitrate pollution through nitrification, while phosphate (PO₄³⁻) acts as a limiting nutrient for algal growth and is a major driver of eutrophication in lakes and rivers. However, the same compounds that create environmental hazards also represent valuable resources for agriculture. In the context of phosphorus scarcity and the rising costs of chemical fertilizers, leachate streams with high nutrient concentrations could be reimagined as raw materials for nutrient recovery rather than as pollutants requiring disposal (Ramadan et al. 2024).

One of the most promising approaches for nutrient recovery from wastewater and similar effluents is the crystallization of struvite (magnesium ammonium

phosphate hexahydrate, $\text{MgNH}_4\text{PO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$). Struvite is a sparingly soluble crystalline compound that precipitates when magnesium, ammonium, and phosphate ions are present at sufficient concentrations under alkaline conditions. Research on struvite crystallization has gained momentum over the past two decades because of its dual role in both wastewater treatment and resource recovery. On the one hand, struvite formation reduces the concentrations of ammonium and phosphate in effluents, thereby mitigating the risks of eutrophication. On the other hand, the recovered crystals can be directly applied as slow-release fertilizers, providing agronomic benefits such as gradual nutrient release and reduced leaching losses compared with conventional soluble fertilizers. Therefore, struvite recovery has been studied in a wide range of systems, including municipal wastewater treatment plants, anaerobic digester supernatants, livestock manure effluents, landfill leachates, and various industrial wastewaters (Petal Battistoni et al. 1997, Doyle & Parson 2002, Kabdaslı et al. 2000, Le Corre et al. 2009, Rahman et al. 2014, Yetilmezsoy & Sapci-Zengin 2009). Beyond pollution control, the recovery of phosphorus as struvite also contributes to the broader sustainability agenda, as phosphorus is a finite, non-renewable resource concentrated in a few geographic regions and is subject to long-term depletion risks (Cordell et al. 2009).

However, struvite formation is influenced by multiple operational factors that require careful optimization. Among these, pH is considered the most critical. Numerous studies have reported that struvite crystallization is most favorable under alkaline conditions, generally between pH 8 and 10.5 (Bhuiyan et al. 2007). At lower pH values, phosphate is predominantly present as H_2PO_4^- or HPO_4^{2-} , limiting the availability of PO_4^{3-} ions necessary for precipitation, whereas excessively high pH values can encourage the formation of competing salts, particularly calcium phosphates, which reduce struvite purity. The choice of magnesium source is another decisive factor. Magnesium chloride (MgCl_2) is often used in laboratory studies because of its high solubility and reliable release of Mg^{2+} ions, which promotes rapid precipitation. Magnesium oxide (MgO), while less soluble, is cheaper and provides a slower release of magnesium, which, in some contexts, may improve crystal growth. Other alternatives, such as seawater, bittern, and industrial by-products, have also been explored as cost-effective magnesium sources (Doyle et al. 2003, Hutnik et al. 2013, Münch & Barr 2001, Ye et al. 2011). The stoichiometric ratio of magnesium to ammonium and phosphate is theoretically 1:1:1; however, in practice, excess magnesium dosing is frequently applied to overcome losses due to competing reactions and to ensure high recovery efficiencies (Thomas 2007).

Hydrodynamics also plays a vital role in crystallization. Struvite formation begins with supersaturation, followed by nucleation and crystal growth, all of which are strongly affected by mixing intensity. Rapid mixing promotes homogeneity and enhances nucleation; however, excessive turbulence can break crystals or prevent them from growing to a size suitable for recovery. Conversely, gentle, slow mixing provides favorable conditions for crystal aggregation and settling. Several studies have therefore recommended a two-step mixing regime—rapid mixing to initiate nucleation, followed by slow mixing to encourage crystal growth and settling—as the most effective strategy (Wang et al. 2005). In addition, reaction time and sedimentation period determine the extent to which equilibrium is approached, with longer retention times generally improving recovery but also increasing operational costs. Finally, the presence of competing ions, such as calcium, potassium, and sodium, as well as high levels of suspended solids or organic matter, can interfere with the crystallization pathway by either substituting into the crystal lattice or sequestering phosphate and magnesium into alternative compounds (Paolo Battistoni et al. 2001).

Although a large body of work has investigated struvite recovery from sewage sludge liquors, digester supernatants, and livestock effluents, the application of this technique to composting leachate remains relatively underexplored. Composting leachate is a particularly challenging medium because of its highly variable composition, elevated suspended solids content, and fluctuating pH. Mizuta et al. have shown that composting leachate can indeed support struvite precipitation; however, the recovery efficiency is strongly dependent on operating conditions, and the outcomes are less predictable than those in digester or sewage liquors. Unlike more homogeneous wastewaters, composting leachate is influenced by multiple factors, including feedstock composition, composting temperature, and retention time, which affect both the nutrient content and the concentration of interfering compounds. These challenges underline the need for systematic studies to determine how struvite recovery can be optimized specifically for composting leachate streams.

The present study addresses this gap by investigating the potential production of struvite fertilizer from composting leachate through a sequential recovery process. This research combines geochemical modeling using PHREEQC with laboratory-scale experiments to examine the effects of magnesium source, pH, molar ratios, and mixing conditions on struvite formation. Magnesium chloride and magnesium oxide were selected as representative soluble and sparingly soluble magnesium sources, respectively, while the pH was varied between 6 and 11 to capture the full precipitation

window. The molar ratio of $\text{Mg}:\text{NH}_4:\text{PO}_4$ was systematically increased from 1:1:1 to 5:1:1, and sequential mixing regimes consisting of rapid followed by slow agitation were tested to replicate practical treatment conditions. The reaction times and sedimentation periods were extended to 48 h to examine the kinetics of precipitation. By linking simulation and experimentation, this study identifies the operational windows that maximize ammonium and phosphate recovery while producing visible struvite crystals.

The novelty of this study lies in the following three aspects. First, it applies a sequential mixing framework to composting leachate, a strategy that has rarely been explored for this particular waste stream. Second, it compares two distinct magnesium sources under identical conditions, providing insights into their relative effectiveness in a complex leachate matrix. Third, it integrates PHREEQC simulations with experimental validation, offering both predictive and empirical perspectives on struvite formation. By addressing these aspects, this study contributes to a better understanding of nutrient recovery from composting leachate and highlights the potential for transforming an environmentally problematic waste stream into a valuable slow-release fertilizer resource.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Data Collection and Characterization

2.1.1. Leachate Characterization

Leachate samples were obtained from a composting facility and stored under cool and dark conditions prior to analysis. Standardized laboratory protocols were followed in accordance with the 24th edition of APHA. The parameters measured included pH, ammonium (NH_4^+), phosphate (PO_4^{3-}), magnesium (Mg^{2+}), total suspended solids (TSS), and selected major ions (Na, K, Ca, and Si). Measurements were performed in duplicate to ensure reproducibility. Initial results showed that the leachate was highly enriched in phosphate ($1179.8 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) and ammonium ($312.5 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) but was almost devoid of magnesium ($0.017 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$), confirming the necessity of magnesium supplementation to enable struvite crystallization.

2.2. Experimental Framework

The study design combined thermodynamic modeling with bench-scale jar tests. This dual approach has been widely adopted in struvite research to first predict the precipitation conditions and then validate them experimentally. The geochemical software PHREEQC was used to simulate the leachate composition under varying pH conditions (6–11) and magnesium addition. Simulations were performed using

the measured initial concentrations of major ions (NH_4^+ , PO_4^{3-} , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , K^+ , Na^+ , Si, and F^-) as input parameters, and the thermodynamic database provided in PHREEQC was used to calculate aqueous speciation and mineral saturation indices (SI). Two magnesium sources, MgCl_2 (aqueous) and MgO (solid), were modeled to examine differences in solubility and to estimate the saturation index (SI) of struvite, as well as that of potential competing mineral phases (e.g., calcium phosphate phases), under different pH scenarios. The SI values were used to identify the pH range at which struvite precipitation was thermodynamically favorable ($\text{SI} > 0$) and to evaluate potential competition from other mineral species.

Based on the modeling results, laboratory trials were conducted in beakers using controlled mixing regimes. Each run consisted of a rapid-mixing phase at 158 rpm for 1 h, followed by a slow-mixing phase at 30–75 rpm for 1 h. This sequential mixing setup reflects common practice in struvite studies, where rapid mixing promotes supersaturation and nucleation, while slow mixing allows crystal growth. Because the natural magnesium concentration was insufficient, external magnesium was added. The molar ratios of $\text{Mg}:\text{NH}_4:\text{PO}_4$ were varied systematically from 1:1:1 to 5:1:1. The pH of the leachate was adjusted using NaOH or HCl before the addition of magnesium. The experimental design was guided by the simulation outputs, particularly the predicted struvite saturation window and the influence of magnesium availability on supersaturation. Screening experiments confirmed optimum precipitation near pH 9 for ammonium and pH 10 for phosphate. The mixing times were varied between 20 and 60 min, while the sedimentation period was extended from 20 to 48 h. This approach enabled the analysis of reaction kinetics and the establishment of equilibrium conditions.

2.3. Data Analysis

The ammonium and phosphate removal efficiencies were calculated from the difference between the initial and residual concentrations using the following equation:

$$\text{Removal efficiency (\%)} = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100 \quad \dots(1)$$

where C_0 is the initial concentration, and C_t is the concentration after treatment. The concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically. Kinetic data were analyzed by plotting the removal efficiency against sedimentation time and fitting regression models. Linear regression was applied as a descriptive tool to illustrate the overall temporal trend of nutrient removal rather than to represent a mechanistic kinetic model of struvite crystallization. Optimal conditions were identified by comparing magnesium sources, pH levels, molar ratios, and mixing regimes. Because struvite precipitation is governed

by non-linear processes, including nucleation, crystal growth, aggregation, and diffusion-controlled mechanisms, the regression analysis was intended to provide comparative trend evaluation only. More advanced kinetic modeling was beyond the scope of the present study and is recommended for future work.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Preliminary Characterization of Composting Leachate

Preliminary analysis of the composting leachate revealed a challenging, nutrient-rich matrix (Table 1). The leachate exhibited an acidic pH of 5.8, high concentrations of phosphate (1179.8 mg.L⁻¹) and ammonium (312.5 mg.L⁻¹), and negligible levels of magnesium (0.017 mg.L⁻¹). In addition, high concentrations of total suspended solids (TSS, 8105 mg.L⁻¹), potassium (5255 mg.L⁻¹), sodium (217.4 mg.L⁻¹), calcium (136.3 mg.L⁻¹), silica (1146 mg.L⁻¹), and fluoride (583 mg.L⁻¹) were detected. This profile confirmed that the leachate is both a pollution concern and a potential nutrient source. The low magnesium concentration indicated that external magnesium addition would be indispensable for inducing struvite precipitation, while the presence of competing cations, such as potassium and calcium, could interfere with crystallization.

The relatively high calcium concentration (136.3 mg.L⁻¹) is particularly important, as Ca²⁺ can compete with Mg²⁺ for phosphate and promote the formation of calcium phosphate phases (e.g., amorphous calcium phosphate or hydroxyapatite), thereby reducing phosphate availability for struvite formation. The extremely high potassium concentration (5255 mg.L⁻¹) increases the ionic strength of the solution, which may reduce ion activity coefficients and consequently lower the effective supersaturation required for struvite nucleation. Although K⁺ does not directly participate in struvite formation, elevated ionic strength can influence crystallization kinetics and precipitation efficiency. Silica (1146 mg.L⁻¹) may also contribute to interference, as dissolved silicate species can interact with Mg²⁺ and potentially form magnesium silicate complexes, thereby reducing the free Mg²⁺ fraction available to react with ammonium and phosphate. Similarly, the presence of fluoride (583 mg.L⁻¹) under alkaline conditions may lead to the partial formation of MgF₂ or other magnesium fluoride species, further decreasing magnesium availability.

The very high TSS concentration (8105 mg.L⁻¹) suggests that heterogeneous nucleation and adsorption phenomena are likely to occur. Suspended particles can adsorb phosphate or magnesium ions and may either promote localized nucleation

or hinder crystal growth by trapping fine precipitates, thereby affecting sedimentation efficiency. These combined matrix effects help explain the need for excess magnesium dosing and careful pH control observed in the subsequent experiments. Similar characteristics have been observed in leachates from organic waste treatment systems, where nutrient recovery requires chemical supplementation and careful process control (Doyle & Parsons 2002, Le Corre et al. 2009).

3.2. Stoichiometric Analysis and Mass Balance Consideration

The initial nutrient concentrations were converted into molar units to evaluate the stoichiometric feasibility of struvite formation. Ammonium (312.5 mg.L⁻¹) corresponded to 17.36 mmol.L⁻¹, while phosphate (1179.8 mg.L⁻¹) corresponded to 12.42 mmol.L⁻¹. Because struvite crystallizes according to a 1:1:1 molar ratio (Mg²⁺: NH₄⁺:PO₄³⁻), phosphate was identified as the limiting reactant under the initial conditions. Based on this stoichiometry, the maximum theoretical struvite formation was 12.42 mmol.L⁻¹, equivalent to approximately 3043 mg.L⁻¹ of MgNH₄PO₄·6H₂O. This indicates that, even with sufficient magnesium supplementation, complete ammonium removal was not theoretically achievable because ammonium was present in excess relative to phosphate. The experimental results, showing incomplete phosphate recovery, suggest that matrix effects, including high calcium (136.3 mg.L⁻¹), elevated potassium (5255 mg.L⁻¹), and high suspended solids (8105 mg.L⁻¹), likely reduced the effective availability of phosphate for struvite precipitation. These competing interactions explain why the observed removal efficiencies were lower than the theoretical maximum.

3.3. Effect of Magnesium Source and pH

The first set of experiments compared MgCl₂ and MgO as magnesium sources across a pH range of 6–11. The results Table 1: Preliminary test.

Parameter	Value	Units
pH	5.8	
Phosphate (PO ₄)	1179.8	mg.L ⁻¹
Ammonia (NH ₄)	312.5	mg.L ⁻¹
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	8105.0	mg.L ⁻¹
Silica (Si)	1146.0	mg.L ⁻¹
Sodium (Na)	217.4	mg.L ⁻¹
Calcium (Ca)	136.3	mg.L ⁻¹
Potassium (K)	5255.0	mg.L ⁻¹
Magnesium (Mg)	0.017	mg.L ⁻¹
Fluoride (F)	583.0	mg.L ⁻¹




Fig. 1: Effect of magnesium source and pH on ammonia and phosphate reduction.

demonstrated that MgCl_2 consistently outperformed MgO in nutrient removal (Fig. 1). For ammonium, the greatest reduction was achieved at pH 9, whereas phosphate removal peaked at pH 10. Under the optimized conditions (pH 10 and an $\text{Mg}:\text{NH}_4:\text{PO}_4$ molar ratio of 5:1:1 using MgCl_2), phosphate removal exceeded 70%, while ammonium removal approached 60%. The lower performance of MgO can be attributed to its limited solubility, which releases Mg^{2+} ions at a slower rate, thereby delaying nucleation and crystal growth. In contrast, MgCl_2 provided an immediate and high concentration of soluble magnesium, enabling rapid supersaturation and precipitation. The dependence on pH observed here is consistent with established findings that struvite crystallization is strongly influenced by solution chemistry (Petal Battistoni et al. 1997). At near-neutral pH (6–7), little precipitation occurred because the availability of PO_4^{3-} ions was restricted. Under highly alkaline conditions (pH > 10.5), the competing precipitation of calcium phosphate and amorphous phosphate species may explain the decline in removal efficiency. Thus, pH 9–10 can be considered the optimal window for struvite precipitation in composting leachate, consistent with previous studies on wastewater and digestates (Kabdaslı et al. 2000, Yetilmezsoy & Sapci-Zengin 2009).

3.4. Influence of $\text{Mg}:\text{NH}_4:\text{PO}_4$ Molar Ratios

Following the identification of the optimum pH, the molar ratio of $\text{Mg}:\text{NH}_4:\text{PO}_4$ was varied between 1:1:1 and 5:1:1. A clear trend was observed: increasing the magnesium dosage enhanced nutrient removal, with the most significant improvement occurring between the 1:1:1 and 3:1:1 ratios (Fig. 2). At ratios beyond 3:1:1, the incremental benefit was smaller, although the maximum removal was achieved at

5:1:1. Ammonium removal increased from approximately 25% at a 1:1:1 ratio to nearly 60% at a 5:1:1 ratio, whereas phosphate removal increased from approximately 40% to more than 70%.

To better represent resource recovery performance beyond percentage removal, phosphorus recovery was estimated based on the initial phosphate concentration (1179.8 mg.L^{-1}). At more than 70% phosphate removal, approximately 826 mg.L^{-1} of PO_4^{3-} was recovered from the solution. Assuming complete conversion of the removed phosphate into struvite ($\text{MgNH}_4\text{PO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$), the theoretical struvite yield corresponds to approximately 2.1 g.L^{-1} , based on stoichiometric conversion (molecular weight of struvite = 245 g.mol^{-1} , molecular weight of PO_4^{3-} = 95 g.mol^{-1}). This estimation indicates that the process not only reduces nutrient concentrations but also produces a potentially recoverable solid product in the gram-per-liter range. The calculated crystallization yield, relative to the theoretical maximum (based on the limiting phosphate concentration), suggests that a substantial fraction of recoverable phosphorus can be converted into solid form under optimized conditions.

These findings are consistent with the principle that excess magnesium is often required to overcome interference in complex wastewaters (Rahman et al. 2014). Composting leachate, with its high concentrations of calcium, potassium, and suspended solids, likely sequestered some of the added magnesium, reducing the fraction available for struvite formation. Calcium can preferentially bind phosphate to form calcium phosphate phases, while fluoride and silicate species may partially complex with Mg^{2+} , thereby decreasing the effective free magnesium concentration in solution. In addition, the elevated ionic strength caused by high potassium levels may reduce ion activities and lower the

effective supersaturation required for nucleation. Therefore, the observed need for overstoichiometric magnesium dosing can be interpreted as compensation for these competing reactions and activity corrections rather than as a simple deviation from ideal stoichiometry. Excess magnesium addition ensured that sufficient Mg^{2+} remained available to react with NH_4^+ and PO_4^{3-} . Similar requirements for overstoichiometric dosing have been reported for digester liquors (Cordell et al. 2009), landfill leachates (Bhuiyan et al. 2007), and animal manure effluents (Münch & Barr 2001).

3.5. Effect of Mixing Regime

The sequential mixing approach, consisting of rapid mixing (158 rpm) followed by slow mixing, was evaluated by varying the slow-mixing speed from 30 to 75 rpm. The results showed that moderate agitation (approximately 60 rpm) provided the best balance between ammonium and phosphate removal (Fig. 3). At very low speeds (30 rpm), crystal nucleation and aggregation were insufficient, resulting in poor recovery. At very high speeds (75 rpm),

shear forces likely disrupted crystal growth and resuspended fine particles, leading to lower sedimentation efficiency.

This behavior underscores the importance of hydrodynamic control during crystallization. Previous studies have shown that rapid mixing promotes homogeneous nucleation, whereas gentle slow mixing supports crystal growth and aggregation into recoverable particles (Hutnik et al. 2013, Ye et al. 2011). The optimum mixing speed of 60 rpm observed here mirrors similar results reported for engineered reactors, where excessively vigorous mixing reduced the struvite particle size and increased washout (Doyle et al. 2003). From an operational perspective, optimizing mixing intensity is also relevant to process efficiency, as excessive agitation may increase energy consumption without proportionally improving nutrient recovery. Therefore, moderate mixing conditions not only enhance precipitation performance but may also contribute to more practical and energy-efficient implementation at a larger scale. Thus, sequential mixing is a promising operational strategy for composting leachate, enabling both nucleation and crystal growth in a controlled manner.




Fig. 2: Influence of molar ratio on ammonia and phosphate removal efficiency.

3.6. Influence of Mixing and Sedimentation Times

The mixing time was varied between 20 and 60 min, while the sedimentation period was extended to 48 h to investigate the precipitation kinetics. The results demonstrated that most nutrient removal occurred within the first 30 min of slow mixing, with only marginal improvements observed

beyond this duration (Fig. 4). Sedimentation tests revealed that the removal efficiency increased steadily over time, reaching equilibrium after approximately 24–36 h. The ammonium and phosphate removal efficiencies approached 65% and 75%, respectively, after extended sedimentation.




Fig. 3: Influence of mixing regime on ammonia and phosphate removal efficiency.




Fig. 4: Influence of mixing and sedimentation times on ammonia and phosphate removal efficiency.

The kinetic profiles suggest that struvite crystallization in composting leachate follows a two-stage process: rapid initial nucleation and crystal growth, followed by slower aggregation and settling. Regression analysis of the removal efficiency versus sedimentation time yielded moderate correlations ($R^2 \approx 0.36$ – 0.40), reflecting the complexity of the leachate matrix and the competing processes involved. These results are consistent with kinetic studies of struvite formation in other wastewaters, which also reported rapid early-stage precipitation followed by a slower approach to equilibrium (Thomas 2007, Wang et al. 2005).

3.7. Kinetic Rate of Ammonia and Phosphate Precipitation

The choice of magnesium source played an important role. $MgCl_2$ resulted in higher removal efficiencies than MgO , and pH strongly influenced the outcome. The most effective nutrient reduction occurred at pH 9 for ammonium and pH 10 for phosphate. Adjusting the molar ratio showed a clear trend: higher magnesium input promoted more complete precipitation, with significant improvement from 1:1:1 to 5:1:1. The kinetic study investigated how the removal efficiencies of ammonium and phosphate evolved with increasing sedimentation time (0–3000 min, approximately 2 days). It should be noted that the kinetic experiment was conducted under fixed operational parameters and did not necessarily represent the globally optimized pH and molar ratio. As shown in Figure 5, both ammonium and phosphate exhibited a positive trend with increasing sedimentation time, indicating that struvite crystallization and settling continued gradually over time.

For ammonium, the removal efficiency increased from approximately 40 % during the initial measurement to

nearly 70% after 3000 min. The linear regression equation ($y = 0.0001x + 0.3589$, $R^2 = 0.3584$) was applied as a descriptive approximation of the overall trend rather than as a mechanistic kinetic model. The relatively low R^2 value indicates that ammonium removal does not follow a strictly linear pattern and that the precipitation kinetics are governed by more complex processes, including nucleation, crystal growth, aggregation, and diffusion limitations. Phosphate removal followed a similar but slower trend, increasing from approximately 20% at the initial measurement to approximately 35% after 3000 min. The regression equation ($y = 7E-05x + 0.2026$, $R^2 = 0.4029$) likewise served only as a simplified representation of the temporal trend. Given the presence of competing ions, such as calcium and potassium, as well as high suspended solids, phosphate precipitation is likely influenced by multiphase reactions and surface-mediated processes rather than by a single linear kinetic pathway. This lower value does not contradict the more than 70% phosphate removal reported under optimized conditions; rather, it reflects the specific operational settings applied during the kinetic experiment.

The R^2 values (0.36 for ammonium and 0.40 for phosphate) indicated only a moderate fit to a linear model, suggesting that the kinetics may not be strictly linear. In reality, struvite precipitation often follows a two-phase process: a rapid nucleation and crystal growth stage within the first hour, followed by a slower approach to equilibrium as supersaturation declines. The scatter observed at shorter times reflected this rapid initial stage, after which a slower linear trend predominated. Overall, the kinetic results highlighted that ammonium removal proceeded more effectively than phosphate removal under the tested conditions. This imbalance is




Fig. 5: Kinetic rate of ammonia and phosphate precipitation.

consistent with previous studies showing that phosphate recovery is often more sensitive to competing ions and pH fluctuations.

Although sedimentation was monitored for up to 24–48 h to evaluate equilibrium behavior under batch conditions, this extended settling time was intended for mechanistic observation rather than to represent a practical hydraulic retention time (HRT) for full-scale operation. In engineered struvite reactors, shorter retention times can be achieved through enhanced mixing, seeding, fluidized-bed configurations, sludge recirculation, or improved solid–liquid separation systems. Nonetheless, the gradual increase in both removal efficiencies over time suggests that allowing sufficient contact and settling time improves recovery performance. Future research should focus on reactor design optimization to reduce the HRT while maintaining high supersaturation and efficient crystal harvesting, thereby improving the practical applicability of the process. Future studies should also apply more appropriate kinetic models (e.g., pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, or diffusion-controlled models) to better describe the crystallization mechanism in complex leachate matrices.

3.8. Visual Confirmation of Struvite

Microscopic examination of the precipitates confirmed the formation of crystalline struvite. The recovered solids displayed the typical white, needle-like crystal morphology associated with struvite, providing qualitative evidence that the sequential recovery strategy successfully produced the target compound. Confirmation of the crystalline product is critical, as competing salts, such as amorphous calcium phosphate, can also form under similar conditions. Therefore, this visual confirmation strengthens the conclusion that the observed nutrient removal was predominantly due to struvite precipitation.

Mixing intensity also affected the results. Moderately slow mixing (around 60 rpm) provided a balance between promoting particle collisions and avoiding re-dispersion. Extending the mixing time led to improved precipitation, although the effect leveled off after a certain period. Kinetic analysis suggested that both ammonium and phosphate removal followed a predictable precipitation pattern (Fig. 5), and visual inspection confirmed the formation of struvite crystals (Fig. 6).

3.9. Implications for Nutrient Recovery from Composting Leachate

The results of this study demonstrate that composting leachate, often regarded solely as problematic waste, can be transformed into a valuable resource through sequential struvite recovery. By applying $MgCl_2$ supplementation, controlling the pH within the range of 9–10, and adopting sequential mixing at moderate slow-mixing speeds, significant recovery of ammonium and phosphate was achieved. The production of crystalline struvite highlights the potential for converting nutrient pollution into an agricultural input, aligning with the principles of waste valorization and the circular economy.

Compared with previous work on sewage sludge liquors and digestates, the recovery efficiencies achieved in this study were somewhat lower. This outcome reflects the complexity of composting leachate, which contains higher levels of suspended solids and competing ions. Nonetheless, the ability to recover more than 60% of ammonium and 70% of phosphate under optimized conditions is a promising outcome, particularly given that composting leachate has been underutilized in nutrient recovery research. Mizuta et al. similarly reported that struvite recovery from composting leachate is feasible but requires careful control of operating conditions. The present study adds to the literature by




Fig. 6: Struvite crystal formation.

providing a detailed analysis of the magnesium source, mixing regimes, and kinetics, thereby offering practical guidance for potential scale-up.

Although the laboratory results are encouraging, several limitations should be noted. First, the high suspended solids content of composting leachate may require pre-treatment to enhance recovery efficiency and reduce interference. Second, the reliance on chemical magnesium sources such as MgCl_2 may limit economic feasibility unless supplemented with low-cost alternatives, such as seawater or industrial by-products. In particular, while increasing the $\text{Mg}:\text{NH}_4:\text{PO}_4$ ratio to 5:1:1 improved phosphate recovery, the incremental benefit beyond 3:1:1 was comparatively smaller, suggesting diminishing returns relative to magnesium input. This indicates that optimization at lower overstoichiometric ratios may offer a more practical balance between nutrient recovery efficiency and chemical consumption.

Furthermore, residual magnesium concentrations were not quantified in this study; therefore, the extent of unreacted magnesium remaining in solution could not be assessed. Excess magnesium dosing may lead to higher operational costs and potential downstream impacts if it is not recovered or reused. Future studies should therefore evaluate magnesium utilization efficiency (e.g., recovery per mole of magnesium added) and incorporate cost–performance analyses to determine the most economically viable dosing strategy.

Third, the experiments were performed at the bench scale, and scale-up challenges, such as reactor design, continuous operation, and the handling of sludge-like precipitates, remain to be addressed. Therefore, future research should focus on integrating struvite recovery with leachate management systems at composting facilities, exploring the use of alternative magnesium sources, and evaluating the agronomic performance of the recovered struvite as a fertilizer. Techno-economic assessments are also essential to determine the practicality of implementing such recovery systems at full scale. Comprehensive techno-economic assessments are essential to determine the practicality and sustainability of implementing high magnesium dosing strategies at full scale.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrated the potential of composting leachate as a nutrient-rich feedstock for struvite precipitation, offering the dual benefits of pollution control and fertilizer production. The initial characterization revealed extremely high concentrations of ammonium (312.5 mg.L^{-1}) and phosphate (1179.8 mg.L^{-1}), but almost negligible magnesium, confirming the necessity of external magnesium supplementation.

Stoichiometric analysis indicated that phosphate ($12.42 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$) was the limiting reactant relative to ammonium ($17.36 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$), meaning that complete ammonium removal was theoretically constrained by phosphate availability. Despite the challenging matrix, characterized by high suspended solids, interfering cations, and acidic pH, the sequential recovery strategy proved effective in achieving measurable nutrient removal and the formation of needle-like crystalline precipitates consistent with struvite morphology. However, because no advanced mineralogical characterization (e.g., XRD, FTIR, or SEM-EDS) was performed, the identity of the precipitated phase should be interpreted as indicative rather than as conclusive evidence of pure struvite. The presence of competing ions, such as calcium, suggests that mixed or calcium phosphate phases may also have formed under certain conditions.

The results clearly showed that the choice of magnesium source and pH were decisive factors. MgCl_2 consistently outperformed MgO because of its higher solubility, enabling the immediate availability of Mg^{2+} for crystallization. Optimum nutrient recovery occurred at pH 9 for ammonium and pH 10 for phosphate, consistent with the previous literature on struvite solubility while highlighting the narrow operational window required for composting leachate. When the molar ratios were varied, a strong dose–response relationship was observed, with excess magnesium addition ($\geq 3:1:1$) being necessary to overcome competition from other ions and to drive the system towards higher supersaturation. Under optimized conditions (pH 10 and $\text{Mg}:\text{NH}_4:\text{PO}_4 = 5:1:1$ using MgCl_2), phosphate removal exceeded 70%, demonstrating the feasibility of achieving high recovery when the operational parameters were carefully controlled.

Mixing-regime experiments confirmed the importance of hydrodynamics. A sequential approach involving rapid mixing followed by moderately slow mixing (approximately 60 rpm) provided the best balance between nucleation and crystal growth. At very low mixing speeds, limited nucleation reduced recovery, whereas at very high speeds, crystal breakage and resuspension diminished sedimentation efficiency. Similarly, the reaction time and sedimentation studies revealed a two-stage kinetic process: rapid initial removal within the first 30 min, followed by slower aggregation and settling over 24–36 h.

In the kinetic experiment conducted under fixed operational parameters, ammonium removal reached nearly 70% after 48 h of sedimentation, while phosphate removal reached approximately 35%. This lower phosphate value reflects the specific experimental conditions applied during the kinetic evaluation and does not contradict the

higher removal observed under optimized settings. The lower phosphate removal reflects the stronger sensitivity of phosphate recovery to matrix interferences. The kinetic results underline that ammonium removal was more efficient than phosphate removal under the tested conditions, a finding consistent with previous studies in which phosphate recovery was suppressed by competing ions such as calcium and potassium. Regression analyses yielded modest R^2 values, indicating that more advanced kinetic models are required to fully capture the complexity of crystallization in such heterogeneous matrices. Nevertheless, the gradual increase in recovery efficiency over time suggests that extended sedimentation could be a practical operational strategy for improving performance. Future studies should incorporate detailed solid-phase characterization and purity analysis to confirm the mineral composition and evaluate the agronomic suitability of the recovered product.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to all the students for contributing to collecting the data. The authors would like to acknowledge the KWEF Board for the Kurita Overseas Research Grant (KORG) 2024 for funding this research with the grant number 24Pid162.

6. REFERENCES

- Battistoni, P., De Angelis, A., Pavan, P., Prisciandaro, M. and Cecchi, F., 2001. Phosphorus removal from a real anaerobic supernatant by struvite crystallization. *Water Research*, 35(9), pp.2167-2178. [DOI]
- Battistoni, P., Fava, G., Pavan, P., Musacco, A. and Cecchi, F., 1997. Phosphate removal in anaerobic liquors by struvite crystallization without addition of chemicals: preliminary results. *Water Research*, 31(11), pp.2925-2929. [DOI]
- Bhuiyan, M., Mavinic, D. and Beckie, R., 2007. A solubility and thermodynamic study of struvite. *Environmental Technology*, 28(9), pp.1015-1026. [DOI]
- Cordell, D., Drangert, J.O. and White, S., 2009. The story of phosphorus: global food security and food for thought. *Global Environmental Change*, 19(2), pp.292-305. [DOI]
- Doyle, J.D., Oldring, K., Churchley, J., Price, C. and Parsons, S.A., 2003. Chemical control of struvite precipitation. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 129(5), pp.419-426. [DOI]
- Doyle, J.D. and Parsons, S.A., 2002. Struvite formation, control and recovery. *Water Research*, 36(16), pp.3925-3940. [DOI]
- Hutnik, N., Kozik, A., Mazenczuk, A., Piotrowski, K., Wierzbowska, B. and Matynia, A., 2013. Phosphates (V) recovery from phosphorus mineral fertilizers industry wastewater by continuous struvite reaction crystallization process. *Water Research*, 47(11), pp.3635-3643. [DOI]
- Kabdasli, I., Tünay, O., Öztürk, I., Yılmaz, S. and Arıkan, O., 2000. Ammonia removal from young landfill leachate by magnesium ammonium phosphate precipitation and air stripping. *Water Science and Technology*, 41(1), pp.237-240. [DOI]
- Le Corre, K.S., Valsami-Jones, E., Hobbs, P. and Parsons, S.A., 2009. Phosphorus recovery from wastewater by struvite crystallization: A review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 39(6), pp.433-477. [DOI]
- Mizuta, K., Fujii, T., Ito, R. and Umita, T., 2020. Behavior of nutrients in composting leachate and recovery of ammonium and phosphate as struvite. *Waste Management*, 102, pp.148-156. [DOI]
- Münch, E.V. and Barr, K., 2001. Controlled struvite crystallization for removing phosphorus from anaerobic digester sidestreams. *Water Research*, 35(1), pp.151-159. [DOI]
- Priyambada, I.B., Widianarko, B., Sasongko, S. and Puspita, A., 2021. Application of Leachate Recirculation on the Concentration of Landfill Gases. In: *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 896(1), pp.012034. [DOI]
- Puspita, A., Budihardjo, M. and Samadikun, B., 2024. Desiccation and crack behavior of modified waste materials—clay mixture as landfill liner: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 21(5), pp.5231-5246. [DOI]
- Rahman, M.M., Salleh, M.A.M., Rashid, U., Ahsan, A., Hossain, M.M. and Ra, C.S., 2014. Production of slow-release crystal fertilizer from wastewaters through struvite crystallization—A review. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 7(1), pp.139-155. [DOI]
- Ramadan, B.S., Fajar, I. and Puspita, A.S., 2024. Estimating short-lived climate pollutants emission from waste management system in Semarang—a life cycle and material flow analysis. *Ecological Engineering & Environmental Technology*, 25, pp.1-12. [DOI]
- Thomas, D.J., 2007. *Maximising Struvite Recovery from Real Wastewater Sources*. PhD Thesis, Cranfield University (United Kingdom).
- Wang, J., Burken, J.G., Zhang, X. and Surampalli, R., 2005. Engineered struvite precipitation: Impacts of component-ion molar ratios and pH. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 131(10), pp.1433-1440. [DOI]
- Ye, Z.-L., Chen, S.-H., Lu, M., Shi, J.-W., Lin, L.-F. and Wang, S.M., 2011. Recovering phosphorus as struvite from the digested swine wastewater with bitter as a magnesium source. *Water Science and Technology*, 64(2), pp.334-340. [DOI]
- Yetilmezsoy, K. and Sapci-Zengin, Z., 2009. Recovery of ammonium nitrogen from the effluent of UASB treating poultry manure wastewater by MAP precipitation as a slow release fertilizer. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 166(1), pp.260-269. [DOI]