



Isolation and Genetic Identification of Lipase Producing Bacteria from Oil-Contaminated Sites

Zainab Qais Hameed and Nassir Abdullah Alyousif†

Department of Ecology, College of Science, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq

†Corresponding author: Nassir Abdullah Alyousif; nassir.hillo@uobasrah.edu.iq

Abbreviation: Nat. Env. & Poll. Technol.

Website: www.neptjournal.com

Received: 20-03-2025

Revised: 12-05-2025

Accepted: 13-05-2025

Key Words:

Lipase
Lipolytic bacteria
Oil contaminated soil
16S rDNA sequencing
Oil contaminated water

Citation for the Paper:

Hameed, Z.Q. and Alyousif, N.A., 2026. Isolation and genetic identification of lipase-producing bacteria from oil-contaminated sites. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*, 25(1), D1794. <https://doi.org/10.46488/NEPT.2026.v25i01.D1794>

Note: From 2025, the journal has adopted the use of Article IDs in citations instead of traditional consecutive page numbers. Each article is now given individual page ranges starting from page 1.

ABSTRACT

Lipase-producing bacteria can be isolated from various environments, including industrial and agricultural waste, vegetable oils, dairy factories, and oil-contaminated soil. Lipase is the third most important enzyme in biotechnology due to its broad catalytic properties and ability to function in heterogeneous media. The current study aims to isolate, screen, and determine the prevalence of lipase-producing bacteria from various oil-contaminated soils in Basrah province, Iraq. Eleven soil samples and five water samples were collected from various oil-contaminated sites. Identification of lipase-producing bacteria was performed using the 16S rDNA sequencing technique. A total of fifty-one lipase-producing bacterial isolates were identified. Seven isolates exhibited high efficiency in lipase enzyme production, including A5, A1, A3, J3, A4, A2, and G3, with lipase activity values of 49 U.mL⁻¹, 28 U.mL⁻¹, 24 U.mL⁻¹, 23 U.mL⁻¹, 23 U.mL⁻¹, 20 U.mL⁻¹, and 20 U.mL⁻¹, respectively. The isolate A5 was the most promising, exhibiting the highest activity at 49 U.mL⁻¹. Based on the sequencing of the 16S rDNA gene, these seven isolates were identified as *Bacillus subtilis* strain QD517, *Bacillus velezensis* strain Bac104, *Bacillus subtilis* strain PK9, *Enterobacter cloacae* strain YY-2, *Bacillus cereus* strain RB1, *Lysinibacillus xylanilyticus* strain D, and *Brevibacillus borstelensis* strain LDH-b. Seven lipase-producing bacterial isolates were characterized as new bacterial strains, and their sequences were registered in the NCBI GenBank database. The production of large quantities of the lipase enzyme requires optimization of culture conditions using various factors to enable applications in multiple fields.

INTRODUCTION

Pollution of the environment due to pipeline leakage, spillage, and oil disruption is common and represents a major environmental issue for communities, environmentalists, and governments (Ikuesan et al. 2017, Almansoory et al. 2019).

The ecosystem suffers considerable damage and alterations as a result of oil spills. Hydrocarbon (HC) toxicity to organisms and soil is a significant global concern today. The effects of this pollution can lead to changes in soil physicochemical and microbiological properties, resulting in soil infertility or stunted and delayed plant growth, or both. Additionally, both surface and underground water can become contaminated, posing risks to human health (Abdul-Ameer Ali et al. 2019).

The natural environment can manage minor oil spills, but only over an extended period. Consequently, there are still negative impacts on various components of the environment before it can fully regain its integrity. This has prompted scientists and environmentalists to search for effective methods to restore oil-polluted sites. The most common technologies and strategies for oil containment and removal from water include floating devices, barriers, oil collection devices, collection vessels, absorbent materials, chemical dispersants, surfactants, physical degradation, biological breakdown, and onsite burning. Cleanup efforts typically employ mechanical or physical methods (sorbents, booms, and skimmers),



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors

License: Technoscience Publications

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

chemical treatments, and biological techniques (Pete et al. 2021).

Biological techniques for oil spill remediation have generated interest in the microbiological study of oil pollution in the environment. This trend encompasses several areas, including the effects of oil spills on microbial populations, the microbial degradation and biodeterioration of crude oil and petroleum products, bioremediation of oil spills, and the production of useful products by crude oil-degrading microorganisms. Notable products include biosurfactants and enzymes (Auta et al. 2022). The demand for these enzymes is rising across various industries due to their numerous advantages, such as minimal environmental toxicity (Thabet et al. 2023).

Most microorganisms enzymatically attack pollutants, converting them into safe molecules. Biodegradation involves treating petroleum pollutants with aliphatic-degrading microorganisms that possess various enzymes, notably lipases (Aransiola et al. 2022). Lipases are among the most valuable biotechnological enzymes, produced by microorganisms (bacteria and fungi), plants, and animals. However, microbial lipases, especially those from bacteria, are more effective than their plant and animal counterparts (Thabet et al. 2023). These microorganisms are primary sources of lipolytic enzymes, making them relatively low-cost, viable, and efficient at breaking down pollutants in a short time (Abubakar et al. 2024). Additionally, microbial enzymes tend to be more stable than those from plants and animals, and their production is more convenient and safer, enhancing their importance for commercial applications. The oily environments of vegetable oil-processing factories, industrial waste sites, soil contaminated with oil, and diesel-fuel-polluted soil provide suitable habitats for

lipase-producing bacteria (Alhebshi et al. 2023). Various bacterial strains isolated from these environments have shown the ability to produce lipase. The most notable genera known for their lipase production include *Acinetobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Burkholderia*, *Pseudomonas*, *Staphylococcus*, *Microbacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Serratia*, *Aeromonas*, *Arthrobacter*, *Stenotrophomonas*, and *Thermosyntropha* (Gururaj et al. 2016, Sethi et al. 2023).

Bacteria generally produce lipase enzymes in small quantities under natural environmental conditions. However, this production can be increased by altering nutritional sources, such as carbon and nitrogen, as well as cultivation conditions like temperature, pH, and inoculum size. These adjustments help identify the most suitable variables for enhancing bacterial growth and enzyme production. While bioremediation typically occurs in an aerobic environment, it can also take place under anaerobic conditions (Abbas et al. 2020, Alyousif et al. 2022). The study aims to investigate the diversity of lipase-producing bacteria in oil-contaminated environments in Basrah province, Iraq, and identify species using molecular tools for potential biotechnological applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Samples

Eleven soil and five water samples contaminated with hydrocarbons and oil waste were collected from several areas in Basrah Province, Iraq (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The soil samples were taken at a depth of 5–10 cm below the surface. Approximately 100 g of soil was collected using a sterile laboratory spatula and placed in sterile, clean plastic containers. Simultaneously, 500 mL of water

Table 1: The sites and types of collected samples.

Type of samples	No. of Samples	Site of Samples	Samples code	Latitude [N]	Longitude [E]
Soil	2	Basrah Center	A	30° 30' 0 "N	47° 47'0"E
			L	30° 30' 0 "N	47° 47'0"E
Soil	5	Khor Al-Zubair	B	30° 13' 0 "N	47° 50'0"E
			C	30° 16' 0 "N	47° 46'0"E
			F	30° 13' 0 "N	47° 41'0"E
			G	30° 15' 0 "N	47° 42'0"E
			M	30° 18' 0 "N	47° 42'0"E
Water	4	Khor Al-Zubair	N	30° 13' 0 "N	47° 46'0"E
			O	30° 15' 0 "N	47° 46'0"E
			P	30° 13' 0 "N	47° 46'0"E
			Q	30° 11' 0 "N	47° 50'0"E
Soil	1	Al-Najebia	D	30° 35' 0 "N	47° 47'0"E
Water	1		E	30° 13' 0 "N	47° 42'0"E
Soil	2	Khums mile	H	30° 29' 0 "N	47° 50'0"E
			J	30° 31' 0 "N	47° 47'0"E
Soil	1	AL-Rumila	K	30° 31' 0 "N	47° 18'0"E

samples were collected in sterile, clean plastic containers. The samples were transported to the laboratory in the Department of Ecology, College of Science, University of Basrah, and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C until analysis.

Isolation of Lipase-Producing Bacteria

One gram of oil-contaminated soil and 1 mL of oil-contaminated water were separately added to tubes containing 9 mL of distilled water and mixed thoroughly using a vortex. A series of serial dilutions was performed, ranging from 10^{-1} to 10^{-6} . Next, 0.1 mL of each dilution was pipetted and spread onto Petri dishes containing Tween 80 agar medium using an L-shaped spreader. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Bacterial colonies that produced a clear zone around them were recorded as positive (Muhsin et al. 2016).

Screening of Lipase-Producing Bacteria

The positive isolates were purified on nutrient agar medium and activated in 5 mL of nutrient broth for 24 h. at 37°C. Then, 2.5 mL of nutrient broth was added to 100 mL of mineral salt medium (MSM) containing NaCl (0.25 g.L^{-1}), peptone (0.2 g.L^{-1}), $\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$ (0.1 g.L^{-1}), $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.04 g.L^{-1}), $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.04 g.L^{-1}), Tween (1-2 drops), and olive oil (2 mL). The mixture was then

incubated for 3-5 days at 37°C (Mobarak-Qamsari et al. 2011).

Lipase Enzyme Assay

Lipase activity was estimated using a mixture of 2 mL of phosphate buffer (pH 10), prepared by dissolving 1 g of phosphate buffer in 100 mL of distilled water, 4 mL of olive oil, 2.5 mL of distilled water, 0.5 mL of CaCl_2 solution (2.19 g in 100 mL of distilled water), and 1 mL of diluted lipase enzyme. The mixture was incubated in a shaker at 27 °C for 15 minutes. After incubation, 1 mL of 96% ethanol and 2–3 drops of phenolphthalein (0.5 g in 50 mL of ethanol) were added. The mixture was then titrated with NaOH (0.2 g in 100 mL of distilled water), gradually adding the base until the color changed to light pink. A control sample was prepared without the diluted lipase enzyme, and the reaction was stopped by adding NaOH (Rasmey et al. 2017). The amount of fatty acids liberated in each sample was calculated based on the volume of NaOH used to reach the titration endpoint using the following equation:

$$\mu\text{mol fatty acid.mL}^{-1} \text{ subsample (U)} = \frac{[(\text{mL NaOH for sample} - \text{mL NaOH}$$

$$\text{for blank}) \times N \times 1000]}{5 \text{ mL}}$$

$U = \mu\text{mol of fatty acid released/mL}$

$N = \text{The normality of the NaOH titrant used}$




Fig. 1: The map of study areas in Al-Basrah Province, Iraq.

Identification of Lipase-Producing Bacteria by 16S rDNA Gene Sequencing

The isolated lipase-producing bacteria were identified via sequencing and analysis of the *16S rDNA* gene. Bacterial DNA was extracted using the Presto™ Mini gDNA bacteria kit (Geneaid, Taiwan). The *16S rDNA* gene was amplified with universal primers 27F and 1492R (Miyoshi et al. 2005). The PCR mixture was prepared in a total volume of 25 µL, which included 12.5 µL of master mix (Promega, USA), 2 µL of DNA, 1 µL each of forward and reverse primers, and 9.5 µL of nuclease-free water. The PCR program for amplifying the *16S rDNA* gene consisted of an initial denaturation at 96°C for 3 min, followed by 27 cycles of 96°C for 30 seconds, primer annealing at 56°C for 25 seconds, extension at 72°C for 15 seconds, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

Sequencing the PCR Products of the 16S rDNA Gene

The PCR products of the 16S rDNA gene were sent to Macrogen Company in South Korea for purification and sequencing. The obtained 16S rDNA gene sequences were proofread using Chromas and aligned with nucleotide sequence databases from NCBI using BLAST tools (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>) to identify and assess the sequence homology of the lipase-producing bacterial isolates. A phylogenetic tree was generated using MEGA X, which aligned the sequences using the Clustal W program included in the software (Kumar et al. 2018).

Data Analysis

The lipase assays were done in duplicate the average of the duplicate determinations was used to represent the result of the lipase assay for all bacterial isolates.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation of Lipase-Producing Bacteria

Sixteen samples collected from different sites were used in the present study to isolate lipase-producing bacteria. The results showed that 51 bacterial isolates were able to produce the lipase enzyme. Gram staining indicated that most of the isolated bacterial species were Gram-positive, 42 (82.35%), while 9 (17.65%) were Gram-negative, as shown in Table 2. The occurrence and distribution of lipase-producing bacteria in each sample were reported as 7 bacterial isolates from sample A, 4 isolates from sample B, 8 isolates from sample C, 5 isolates from sample D, 4 isolates from sample E, 1 isolate from sample F, 5 isolates from sample G, 2 isolates from sample H, 3 isolates from sample J, 2 isolates from sample K, 1 isolate from sample L, 3 isolates from sample M, 3 isolates from sample N, 1 isolate from sample O, 2

isolates from sample P, and 1 isolate from sample Q, as listed in (Table 2).

Table 2: Gram's staining characteristics of lipase-producing bacterial isolates.

Samples	Isolates code	Gram's staining	Percentage of Gr+ve	Percentage of Gr-ve
A	A1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
	A2	Gr+ve		
	A3	Gr+ve		
	A4	Gr+ve		
	A5	Gr+ve		
	A6	Gr+ve		
	A7	Gr+ve		
B	B1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
	B2	Gr+ve		
	B3	Gr+ve		
	B4	Gr+ve		
C	C1	Gr-ve	50%	50%
	C2	Gr-ve		
	C3	Gr+ve		
	C4	Gr-ve		
	C5	Gr-ve		
	C6	Gr+ve		
	C7	Gr+ve		
	C8	Gr+ve		
D	D1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
	D2	Gr+ve		
	D3	Gr+ve		
	D4	Gr+ve		
E	E1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
	E2	Gr+ve		
	E3	Gr+ve		
	E4	Gr+ve		
F	F1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
G	G1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
	G2	Gr+ve		
	G3	Gr+ve		
	G4	Gr+ve		
	G5	Gr+ve		
H	H1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
	H2	Gr+ve		
J	J1	Gr-ve	0%	100%
	J2	Gr-ve		
	J3	Gr-ve		
K	K1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
	K2	Gr+ve		
L	L1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
M	M1	Gr+ve	66.66%	33.33%
	M2	Gr+ve		
	M3	Gr-ve		
N	N1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
	N2	Gr+ve		
	N3	Gr+ve		
O	O1	Gr+ve	100%	0%
P	P1	Gr-ve	50%	50%
	P2	Gr+ve		
Q	Q1	Gr+ve	100%	0%

Table 3: Screening of bacterial isolates for the production of lipase enzyme.

Samples	Isolates code	Lipase assay [U.mL ⁻¹]*
A	A1	28
	A2	20
	A3	24
	A4	23
	A5	49
	A6	24
	A7	5
B	B1	3.5
	B2	4.5
	B3	6
	B4	4.5
C	C1	13
	C2	17
	C3	7
	C4	9
	C5	7
	C6	11
	C7	12
	C8	18
D	D1	8
	D2	10
	D3	3
	D4	16
	D5	18
E	E1	11
	E2	15
	E3	10
	E4	15
F	F1	17
G	G1	18
	G2	16
	G3	20
	G4	20
	G5	11
H	H1	12
	H2	15
J	J1	12
	J2	12
	J3	23
K	K1	16
	K2	14
L	L1	9
M	M1	11
	M2	8
	M3	9
N	N1	14
	N2	12
	N3	10
O	O1	12
P	P1	10
	P2	7
Q	Q1	10

* Mean \pm SD, n=2

Screening of Lipase-Producing Bacteria

Bacterial isolates displayed varying abilities to produce lipase enzymes. The isolates that yielded positive results in the preliminary screening underwent further evaluation to assess their lipase production using mineral salt medium (MSM), with olive oil as the sole carbon source and peptone as the sole nitrogen source (Fig. 2). These isolates demonstrated different lipase activity when tested with phosphate buffer, ranging from 49 U.mL⁻¹ to 3 U.mL⁻¹. Seven isolates, A5, A1, A3, J3, A4, A2, and G3, emerged as the most promising lipase enzyme producers, exhibiting the highest lipase activities of 49 U.mL⁻¹, 28 U.mL⁻¹, 24 U.mL⁻¹, 23 U.mL⁻¹, 23 U.mL⁻¹, 20 U.mL⁻¹, and 20 U.mL⁻¹, respectively, out of the 51 bacterial isolates that tested positive for lipase activity. Among these, isolate A5 was identified as the most promising lipase producer with an activity of 49 U.mL⁻¹, while isolate D3 displayed the lowest activity at 3 U.mL⁻¹, as shown in Table 3.

Characterization of Lipase-Producing Bacteria by 16S rDNA Gene Sequencing

The *16S rDNA* gene of all bacterial isolates was amplified using the PCR technique, and the results were visualized by electrophoresis under a UV transilluminator, showing a band size of nearly 1500 bp when compared to the DNA ladder, as illustrated in Fig. 3. The bacterial isolates were identified through *16S rDNA* gene sequencing and analysis. Molecular identification and sequencing of the *16S rDNA* genes were conducted using the NCBI BLAST tool to characterize the lipase-producing bacterial isolates by comparing the obtained sequences with those in the NCBI database. The identification of the lipase-producing bacterial isolates was confirmed through sequencing and analysis of the *16S rDNA* gene.

Most lipase-producing bacterial isolates in the present study belonged to spore-forming, Gram-positive bacilli. Analysis of the 16S rDNA gene sequences indicated that, at the genus level, the isolates belonged to *Bacillus* (34 isolates), *Brevibacillus* (3 isolates), and two isolates each of *Lysinibacillus*, *Achromobacter*, *Stutzerimonas*, *Enterobacter*, and *Exiguobacterium*. Additionally, one isolate each belonged to *Staphylococcus*, *Cronobacter*, *Pigmentiphaga*, and *Acinetobacter*. The most prevalent bacterial species were *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*, and *Bacillus cereus* (Table 4, Fig. 4). A phylogenetic tree was constructed using partial 16S rDNA sequences from the lipase-producing bacterial isolates to determine their evolutionary relationships. The analysis revealed two main groups: one comprising Gram-positive bacteria, predominantly spore-forming species, which were further divided into several



Fig. 2: Screening of bacterial isolates for the production of lipase enzyme, **A**: flask containing MSM with bacterial isolate, **B**: Lipase enzyme assay by the titration method.




Fig. 3: Agarose gel electrophoresis for PCR products of the 16S rDNA gene (1500 bp) for lipase-producing bacteria. Lane M: DNA ladder, lane A1-B4: Bacterial isolates.

subgroups based on the degree of closeness between the isolates, irrespective of their source, and the other consisting of Gram-negative bacteria, also divided into subgroups reflecting their similarity. These subgroups indicate the phylogenetic relatedness among bacterial isolates, regardless of type or source of isolation (Fig. 5).

Recording of New Lipase-Producing Bacterial Strains

The lipase-producing bacterial isolates in the present study were 100% similar based on *16S rDNA* sequencing, except for seven bacterial isolates, namely B4, C5, D3, D4, J1, J3 and Q were characterized as a new bacterial strain. The sequences of these new bacterial strains have been recorded at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under the accession numbers listed in Table 5. The new lipase-producing bacterial strains that have been recorded include Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.

Discussion

Lipase is the third most widely used enzyme in biotechnology, after protease and amylase, due to its broad catalytic properties and ability to function in heterogeneous media (Yao et al. 2021). This enzyme finds applications across diverse industries, including biodiesel, food and beverages, leather, textiles, detergents, pharmaceuticals, tea processing, and cosmetics. Lipase is characterised by high selectivity, catalytic efficiency, and stability (Remonato et al. 2022). It exhibits notable resistance to temperature and pH variations and remains highly active in the presence of industrial organic solvents, metal ions, enzyme inhibitors, and commercial detergents (Zhao et al. 2021). While lipases can be isolated from bacterial, fungal, plant, and animal sources, bacterial lipases are generally more efficient and stable (Snellman et al. 2002). Lipase-producing bacteria can be obtained from diverse environments such as industrial and agricultural waste, vegetable oils, dairy factories, and oil-contaminated soils (Bashir et al. 2024). Their ability to

Table 4: Characterization of lipase-producing bacteria by *16S rDNA* gene sequencing, isolate code and similarity percentage.

Isolates code	Closest species	Accession no.	identity %
A1	<i>Bacillus velezensis</i> strain Bac104	PQ813838.1	100
A2	<i>Lysinibacillus xylanilyticus</i> strain D	KF923754.1	100
A3	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain PK9	PQ289147.1	100
A4	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> strain RB1	MK418365.1	100
A5	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain QD517	EF472261.1	100
A6	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> strain IRHB18	OP364585.1	100
A7	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain QD517	EF472261.1	100
B1	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> strain HNXS-W29	PP092139.1	100
B2	<i>Staphylococcus warneri</i> strain HBUM06952	MF662224.1	100
B3	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i>	KU143924.1	100
B4	<i>Bacillus nakamurai</i> strain PGPR_corkoak_AJ34	MZ700084.1	99.92
C1	<i>Cronobacter sakazakii</i> strain KYU46	GU227669.1	100
C2	<i>Achromobacter spanius</i> strain 22STR569	OR831916.1	100
C3	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> strain LT419	PQ782563.1	100
C4	<i>Achromobacter marplatensis</i> strain KLK37	MT634501.1	100
C5	<i>Pigmentiphaga daeguensis</i> strain PT-16	HQ848120.1	98.30
C6	<i>Lysinibacillus macroides</i> strain CRDT-EB-7.2	MN192407.1	100
C7	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain Bs31	OQ423166.1	100
C8	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain SPA N1	JQ308575.1	100
D1	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain MA139	DQ415893.3	100
D2	<i>Bacillus badius</i> strain M9-20	KT382256.1	100
D3	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> subsp. <i>plantarum</i> strain Hk8-20	JF899260.1	99.18
D4	<i>Bacillus velezensis</i> strain FJ23	OR551414.1	99.93
E1	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> strain MX66	OP753626.1	100
E2	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> strain Ba09	MT250917.1	100
E3	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> strain MX66	OP753626.1	100
E4	<i>Bacillus encimensis</i> strain SGD-V-25	KF413433.2	100
F1	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> strain MX66	OP753626.1	100
G1	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain HY-34	MZ895403.1	100
G2	<i>Brevibacillus borstelensis</i> strain UTM105	KF952566.1	100
G3	<i>Brevibacillus borstelensis</i> strain LDH-b	MW686864.1	100
G4	<i>Brevibacillus borstelensis</i> strain UTM105	KF952566.1	100
G5	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain LE24	MG980567.1	100
H1	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> strain H23B00114	PQ093572.1	100
H2	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain B4	MW776610.1	100
J1	<i>Stutzerimonas balearica</i> strain ROD040	OK135687.1	99.93
J2	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> strain HG-1	MN582993.1	100
J3	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> strain YY-2	OR378485.1	99.89
K1	<i>Bacillus oceanisediminis</i> strain 4S3	KM374766.1	100
K2	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain L4	KU179323.1	100
L1	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain EPP2 2	JQ308548.1	100
M1	<i>Exiguobacterium aestuarii</i>	MW192903.1	100
M2	<i>Exiguobacterium profundum</i> strain B09	JX112643.1	100
M3	<i>Acinetobacter junii</i> strain BASN28	OQ780942.1	100
N1	<i>Bacillus tropicus</i> strain SS9	MK467544.1	100
N2	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain ME2	JQ900623.1	100
N3	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> strain ABC12	ON631071.1	100
O1	<i>Bacillus oceanisediminis</i> strain 4S3	KM374766.1	100
P1	<i>Stutzerimonas stutzeri</i> strain XX1	MW820278.1	100
P2	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> strain WX2	KF624695.1	100
Q1	<i>Bacillus albus</i> strain FA135	MK993477.1	99.81




Fig. 4: The number of total lipase-producing bacteria of the respective genera (n=51).

Table 5: The lipase-producing bacterial isolates were recorded as new bacterial strains.

Samples	Isolates code	New bacterial strains	Sequence identity (%)	Accession no. of the new strain
B	B4	<i>Bacillus nakamurai</i> strain ZAINABBSRB4	99.92	PV050379.1
C	C5	<i>Pigmentiphaga daeguensis</i> strain ZAINABBSRC5	98.30	PV050380.1
D	D3	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> strain ZAINABBSRD3	99.18	PV050381.1
	D4	<i>Bacillus velezensis</i> strain ZAINABBSRD4	99.93	PV050382.1
J	J1	<i>Stutzerimonas balearica</i> strain ZAINABBSRJ1	99.93	PV050383.1
	J3	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> strain ZAINABBSRJ3	99.89	PV050384.1
Q	Q	<i>Bacillus albus</i> strain ZAINABBSRQ	99.81	PV050385.1

produce lipase allows them to thrive in areas contaminated with oil waste (Lee et al. 2015). Most bacterial species isolated in the present study, belonging to the genera *Bacillus*, *Brevibacillus*, *Lysinibacillus*, *Achromobacter*, *Stutzerimonas*, *Enterobacter*, and *Exiguobacterium*, have been previously identified in Basrah province from various environmental samples, including soil, water, sewage, and food (Alyousif 2022, Mohammad & Alyousif 2022, Al Khafaji et al. 2023, Al-Amery & Alyousif 2024). Species of the genus *Bacillus* were prevalent at 14 out of 16 sampling sites due to their ability to withstand harsh conditions, such as high temperatures, aridity, and intense solar radiation. These bacteria form thick spores, which contribute to their resistance (Alyousif 2022).

Bacillus species play essential roles in soil ecosystems, including nutrient recycling, nitrogen stabilization, and enrichment of minerals. They are also significant for industrial applications due to their production of enzymes and other valuable metabolites (Yahya et al. 2021). Lipase activity assays in the current study confirmed that *Bacillus*

species have the potential to produce substantial amounts of lipase, consistent with previous reports (Al Mohaini et al. 2022, Oni et al. 2022, Saravanakumar et al. 2024).

Bacillus encimensis, *Bacillus badius*, *Bacillus nakamurai*, *Bacillus oceanisediminis*, and *Pigmentiphaga daeguensis* have not been previously reported for their production of lipase enzymes, nor has their ability to secrete lipase and treat oil pollution been studied. These isolates are being reported for the first time in Iraq. *B. encimensis* was isolated from a marine sediment sample in India (Dastager et al. 2015), *B. nakamurai* from soil (Dunlap et al. 2016), *B. oceanisediminis* from the South Sea in China (Zhang et al. 2010), and *P. daeguensis* from wastewater collected from a dye works in Korea (Yoon et al. 2007).

The current results indicate that several Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, which do not form spores, are effective at secreting lipase enzymes in varying amounts depending on the bacterial species. Examples include *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Staphylococcus warneri*, *Achromobacter* spp., and *Stutzerimonas balearica*. These




Fig. 5: Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree illustrating the evolutionary relationships among lipase-producing bacteria.

species have been shown to secrete lipase enzymes that were isolated from various sources, including environmental and food samples (Ali et al. 2015, Yele & Desai 2015, AL-Lami & AL-Kazaz 2016, Ugras & Uzmez 2016, Iboyo et al. 2017, Issa et al. 2023).

Mubarak-Qamsari et al. (2011) studied several lipase-producing bacteria from the wastewater of an oil processing plant and found that maximum lipolytic activity occurred at 45°C and a pH of 7-10. Sagar et al. (2013) isolated lipase-producing bacteria from a waste-contaminated site. Among 18 bacterial isolates, two demonstrated significant lipolytic activity, their optimal conditions were 45°C, pH 8, and incubation times of 18 h at 37°C and 24 h, respectively. Habibolahi & Salehzadeh (2018) isolated and identified lipase-producing bacteria from the soil of oil extraction plants, identifying them as *Pseudomonas* spp. They determined that the optimal conditions for lipase production by this strain included 48 h of incubation at 37°C, a pH of 7, an agitation speed of 150 rpm, peptone as the nitrogen source, and olive oil as the carbon source.

In the current study, we recorded seven new bacterial strains through the sequencing of the *16S rDNA* gene, which have been registered in the NCBI GenBank database under specific accession numbers. The DNA sequences of these bacterial isolates may change due to exposure to mutagens such as chemicals, radiation, and other factors that can cause DNA damage and affect inheritance (Mohammad & Alyousif 2022).

Soil and water contaminated with oil and its derivatives are important sources of bacterial isolates capable of producing lipase enzymes. Lipase-producing bacteria have both biotechnological and ecological significance, making them a focus of research aimed at discovering new and more efficient isolates (Yao et al. 2021). The potential lipase-producing bacteria identified in this study are promising candidates for large-scale industrial applications in the future. Lipase enzymes are used across multiple sectors, including oil processing, detergents, food production, and pharmaceuticals. Environmentally, these enzymes can be applied to treat petroleum-contaminated soil and water, offering an economical and eco-friendly solution. To achieve high lipase yields from these candidate isolates, further optimization of culture conditions is necessary. Consequently, additional experiments on both laboratory and large scales should be conducted to evaluate their practical applications, following the initial screening performed in this study.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, 51 lipase-producing bacterial isolates were obtained from oil contamination sites, all capable of producing

lipase enzymes with varying activity levels. Seven isolates demonstrated high efficiency in lipase production, among these, isolate A5 was the most promising, exhibiting the highest activity at 49 U.mL⁻¹. Based on the sequencing of the *16S rDNA* gene, these seven isolates were identified as *Bacillus subtilis* strain QD517, *Bacillus velezensis* strain Bac104, *Bacillus subtilis* strain PK9, *Enterobacter cloacae* strain YY-2, *Bacillus cereus* strain RB1, *Lysinibacillus xylanilyticus* strain D, and *Brevibacillus borstelensis* strain LDH-b. The production of large amounts of lipase enzymes requires the optimization of culture conditions, considering various factors for application in diverse fields.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to extend their deepest gratitude to the Department of Ecology at the College of Science, University of Basrah, Iraq, for providing the essential facilities that made this study possible.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, S.Z., Yong, Y., Khan, M.A., Siddiqui, M.R., Hakami, A.A.H., Alshareef, S.A., Otero, M. and Rafatullah, M., 2020. Biofloculants produced by bacterial strains isolated from palm oil mill effluent for application in the removal of Eriochrome Black T dye from water. *Polymers*, 12(7), pp.1545. [DOI]
- Abdul-Ameer, A.W., 2019. Biodegradation and phytotoxicity of crude oil hydrocarbons in an agricultural soil. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, 79(2), pp.266–277. [DOI]
- Abubakar, A., Abioye, O.P., Aransiola, S.A., Maddela, N.R. and Prasad, R., 2024. Crude oil biodegradation potential of lipase produced by *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from hydrocarbon contaminated soil. *Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology*, 6, pp.26–32. [DOI]
- AL-Amery, N.M.A. and Alyousif, N.A., 2024. Isolation, screening, and molecular characterization using 16S rDNA gene of feather-degrading bacteria isolated from poultry soil in Basrah Province, Iraq. *Biodiversitas*, 25(9), pp.3217–3226. [DOI]
- AL-Lami, A.R. and AL-Kazaz, A.K., 2016. Characterization, biotyping and genotyping of *Cronobacter sakazakii* isolated from Baghdadian foods. *Journal of College of Basic Education*, 22(93), pp.77–88. [DOI]
- Alheshi, A., Al-Sayied, F.S. and El-Hamshary, O.I., 2023. Detection and molecular characterization of lipase-producing bacteria. *Egyptian Pharmaceutical Journal*, 22(1), pp.54–66. [DOI]
- AL Khafaji, A.M., ALmansoori, A.F. and Alyousif, N.A., 2023. Isolation, screening and molecular identification of biofloculants-producing bacteria. *Biodiversitas*, 24(8), pp.4410–4417. [DOI]
- Ali, C.H., Zhang, J.J., Mbadinga, S.M., Liu, J.F., Yang, S.Z., Gu, J.D. and Mu, B.Z., 2015. Screening, isolation and optimization of an extracellular lipase producing *Exiguobacterium* sp. BBXS-7 segregated from waste cooking oil contaminated sites. *Wulfenia Journal*, 22, pp.185–201.
- Almansoori, A.F., Talal, A., Al-Yousif, N.A. and Hazaimah, M., 2019. Isolation and identification of microbial species for hydrocarbon degradation in contaminated soil and water. *Plant Archive*, 19(1), pp.971–977.
- Al Mohaini, M., Farid, A., Muzammal, M., Ghazanfar, S., Dadrasnia, A., Alsaman, A.J. and Ismail, S., 2022. Enhancing lipase production of *Bacillus salmalaya* strain 139SI using different carbon sources and surfactants. *Applied Microbiology*, 2(1), pp.237–247. [DOI]

- Alyousif, N.A., Al-Tamimi, W.H. and Al-sahib, M.A.A., 2022. Evaluation of the effect of various nutritional and environmental factors on biosurfactant production by *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Biodiversitas*, 23(7), pp.3533–3538. [DOI]
- Alyousif, N.A., 2022. Distribution, occurrence and molecular characterization of *Bacillus*-related species isolated from different soil in Basrah Province, Iraq. *Biodiversitas*, 23(2), pp.679–686. [DOI]
- Aransiola, S.A., Afolabi, F., Joseph, F. and Maddela, N.R., 2022. Soil enzymes: Distribution, interactions, and influencing factors. In: *Agricultural Biocatalysis*, pp.303–333. Jenny Stanford Publishing.
- Auta, H.S., Aboyeji, D.O., Bala, J.D., Abioye, O.P., Adabara, N.U., Aransiola, S.A. and Aziz, A., 2022. Marine microbial enzymes: An overview. In: *Ecological Interplays in Microbial Enzymology*, pp.83–104.
- Bashir, T., Majeed, H. and Iftikhar, T., 2024. Isolation and screening of thermophilic bacteria and its subsequent evaluation for lipases production. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 56(2), pp.759–764. [DOI]
- Dastager, S.G., Mawlanakar, R., Mual, P., Verma, A., Krishnamurthi, S., Joseph, N. and Shouche, Y.S., 2015. *Bacillus encimensis* sp. nov. isolated from marine sediment. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 65(5), pp.1421–1425. [DOI]
- Dunlap, C.A., Saunders, L.P., Schisler, D.A., Leathers, T.D., Naeem, N., Cohan, F.M. and Rooney, A.P., 2016. *Bacillus nakamurai* sp. nov., a black-pigment-producing strain. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 66(8), pp.2987–2991. [DOI]
- Gururaj, P., Ramalingam, S., Devi, G.N. and Gautam, P., 2016. Process optimization for production and purification of a thermostable, organic solvent tolerant lipase from *Acinetobacter* sp. AU07. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 47(3), pp.647–657. [DOI]
- Habibollahi, H. and Salehzadeh, A., 2018. Isolation, optimization, and molecular characterization of a lipase producing bacterium from oil contaminated soils. *Pollution*, 4(1), pp.119–128. [DOI]
- Iboyo, A.E., Asitok, A.D., Ekpenyong, M.G. and Antai, S.P., 2017. Selection of *Enterobacter cloacae* strain POPE6 for fermentative production of extracellular lipase on palm kernel oil processing effluent. *International Journal of Science*, 6(11), pp.1–17. [DOI]
- Ikuesan, F., 2017. Evaluation of crude oil biodegradation potentials of some indigenous soil microorganisms. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 13(5), pp.1–9. [DOI]
- Issa, H.K., Dohara, M.I.A., El-Sayed, A.K. and El-Bana, M.I., 2023, September. Bioprospecting of lipase producing bacteria isolated from oil contaminated sites of Port Said, Egypt. In: *The First International Conference and Expo on Green Science*, pp.269-291. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. and Tamura, K., 2018. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35, pp.1547-1549. [DOI]
- Lee, L.P., Karbul, H.M., Citartan, M., Gopinath, S.C., LakshmiPriya, T. and Tang, T.H., 2015. Lipase-secreting *Bacillus* species in an oil-contaminated habitat: promising strains to alleviate oil pollution. *BioMed Research International*, 2015(1), pp.820575. [DOI]
- Miyoshi, T., Iwatsuki, T. and Naganuma, T., 2005. Phylogenetic characterization of 16S rRNA gene clones from deep-groundwater microorganisms that pass through 0.2-micrometer-pore-size filters. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 71, pp.1084-1088. [DOI]
- Mobarak-Qamsari, E., Kasra-Kermanshahi, R. and Moosavi-Nejad, Z., 2011. Isolation and identification of a novel, lipase-producing bacterium, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* KM110. *Iranian Journal of Microbiology*, 3(2), pp.92.
- Mohammad, A.J. and Alyousif, N.A., 2022. Molecular identification and assessment of bacterial contamination of frozen local and imported meat and chicken in Basrah, Iraq using 16S rDNA gene. *Biodiversitas*, 23(3), pp.1598-1604. [DOI]
- Muhsin, Y.M.B., AL-Kakei, S.N.H., Baqir, B.A., Hameed, E., Obaid, K.A. and AL-Kaabi, S.A.G., 2016. Optimization and characterization growth conditions of lipase-producing bacteria from oil contaminated soil and sewage. *Iraq Journal of Biotechnology*, 15(3), pp.65-70.
- Oni, I.O., Faeji, C.O., Fasoro, A.A., Kukoyi, O. and Akingbade, A.M., 2022. Optimization of amylase and lipase enzymes produced by *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus subtilis* isolated from waste dumpsites. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 14(3), pp.978-984. [DOI]
- Pete, A.J., Bharti, B. and Benton, M.G., 2021. Nano-enhanced bioremediation for oil spills: a review. *ACS ES&T Engineering*, 1(6), pp.928-946. [DOI]
- Rasmey, A.H.M., Aboseidah, A.A., Gaber, S. and Mahran, F., 2017. Characterization and optimization of lipase activity produced by *Pseudomonas monteilli* 2403-KY120354 isolated from ground beef. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 16(2), pp.96-105. [DOI]
- Remonatto, D., Miotti, J.R., RH, Monti, R., Bassan, J.C. and de Paula, A.V., 2022. Applications of immobilized lipases in enzymatic reactors: a review. *Process Biochemistry*, 114, pp.1-20. [DOI]
- Sagar, K., Bashir, Y., Phukan, M.M. and Konwar, B.K., 2013. Isolation of lipolytic bacteria from waste contaminated soil: a study with regard to process optimization for lipase. *International Journal of Science and Technology Research*, 2(10), pp.214-218.
- Saravanakumar, S., Prabakaran, N.N., Ashokkumar, R. and Jamuna, S., 2024. Unlocking the gut's treasure: lipase-producing *Bacillus subtilis* probiotic from the intestine of *Microstomus kitt* (lemon sole). *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 196(7), pp.4273-4286.
- Sethi, N., Yadav, S., Chander, S., Kumari, S. and Gupta, A., 2023. Evaluation of lipase from an indigenous isolated *Bacillus* strain for biodiesel production. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*, 22(3), pp.1319-1330. [DOI]
- Snellman, E.A., Sullivan, E.R. and Colwell, R.R., 2002. Purification and properties of the extracellular lipase, LipA, of *Acinetobacter* sp. RAG-1. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 269(23), pp.5771-5775. [DOI]
- Thabet, H.S., Seddik, I., Mohamed, W.A., Sayed, A.M. and Yusuf, S., 2023. Isolation, screening, and molecular identification of lipase-producing bacteria from different bacterial sources. *Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal*, 69(178), pp.134-144. [DOI]
- Ugras, S. and Uzmez, S., 2016. Characterization of a newly identified lipase from a lipase-producing bacterium. *Frontiers in Biology*, 11, pp.323-330. [DOI]
- Yahya, G., Ebada, A., Khalaf, E.M., Mansour, B., Nouh, N.A., Mosbah, R.A., Saber, S., Moustafa, M., Negm, S., El-Sokkary, M.M.A. and El-Baz, A.M., 2021. Soil-associated *Bacillus* species: a reservoir of bioactive compounds with potential therapeutic activity against human pathogens. *Microorganisms*, 9, pp.1131. [DOI]
- Yao, W., Liu, K., Liu, H., Jiang, Y., Wang, R., Wang, W. and Wang, T., 2021. A valuable product of microbial cell factories: microbial lipase. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, pp.773347. [DOI]
- Yele, V.U. and Desai, K., 2015. A new thermostable and organic solvent-tolerant lipase from *Staphylococcus warneri*, optimization of media and production conditions using statistical methods. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 175, pp.855-869. [DOI]
- Yoon, J.H., Kang, S.J., Kim, W. and Oh, T.K., 2007. *Pigmentiphaga daeguensis* sp. nov. isolated from wastewater of a dye works, and emended description of the genus *Pigmentiphaga*. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 57(6), pp.1188-1191. [DOI]
- Zhang, J., Wang, J., Fang, C., Song, F., Xin, Y., Qu, L. and Ding, K., 2010. *Bacillus oceanisediminis* sp. nov., isolated from marine sediment. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 60(12), pp.2924-2929. [DOI]
- Zhao, J., Ma, M., Zeng, Z., Yu, P., Gong, D. and Deng, S., 2021. Production, purification and biochemical characterization of a novel lipase from a newly identified lipolytic bacterium *Staphylococcus caprae* NCU S6. *Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry*, 36(1), pp.249-257. [DOI]