

Nature Environment and Pollution Technology An International Quarterly Scientific Journal Vol. 9 No. 2

2010

pp. 305-309

**Original Research Paper** 

# Effect of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Balanced Phosphate Fertilization on Two Fibre Yielding Plants, *Corchorus capsularis* L. (Jute) and *Gossypium arboretum* L. (Cotton)

## K. Sandeepkumar and H.C. Lakshman

Microbiology Laboratory, P.G. Deptt. of Botany, Karnatak University, Dharwad-580 003, Karnataka

Nat. Env. Poll. Tech. ISSN: 0972-6268 Website: neptjournal.com

Key Words: Fibre yielding plants Rock phosphate Super phosphate *Glomus fasciculatum* 

# ABSTRACT

Different levels of phosphate and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal (*Glomus fasciculatum*) inoculation were carried on two fibre yielding plants. *Corchorus capsularis* L. (jute) plants grew much taller than non mycorrhizal plants in 3.0 mg Rp/kg, Sp/kg super phosphate with AM fungus *Glomus fasciculatum* inoculation significantly increased plant height, root length, and reduced per cent root colonization and spore number. 3.5 mg/kg treatment of Rp with mycorrhizal inoculation on *Gossypium arboretum* L. (cotton) influenced the plant growth, biomass production and P-uptake in mycorrhizal plants over the non-mycorrhizal plants. The suitable level of rock phosphate and super phosphate treatment with *Glomus fasciculatum* inoculation has been recommended on these two fibre yielding plants.

# INTRODUCTION

The importance of soil as a reservoir of plant nutrients responsible for growth is well known. Soil also provides the matrix for the biological processes involved in nutrient cycling. Among the biological processes involved in the rhizoplane, the unique role of symbiotic bacteria and the mycorrhizal fungi, which ensure fixation and mobilization and availability of nitrogen and phosphorus to plants, has been well recognized. Therefore, proper fertilizer application is essential to increase plant production in infertile soils. Most of the Indian tropical soils require phosphorus for growing crop plants. It has been well documented that AM fungi are associated with most of the terrestrial plants. They play a vital role in uptake and translocation of limited nutrients mainly by diffusion of P thereby promoting plant growth (Anonymous 2005). It has also been observed that external hyphae of AM fungi can extend as much as 5-8 cm away from roots and absorb nutrients from a large soil volume (Udaiyan et al. 1997).

Thus, absorption of phosphate ions could be possible through some beneficial microsymbioants that are associated with rhizospheric zones in numerous plants. It is also known this fungi that have been associated symbiotically with almost all plants including fibre yielding plants (Allen 1991). Rhodes & Gerdmann (1978) studied the influence of phosphorus and sulphur, and their nutrition uptake by arbuscular mycorrhiza infected onion. Smith (1982) reported the inflow of phosphate into mycorrhizal and non mycorrhizal plants of *Trifolium subterranean*. Jalali & Thereja (1985) worked on the plant growth response to arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation in soils along with rock phosphate. Jones (2000) demonstrated the effect of long term organic or inorganic fertilization of subterranean clover with mycorrhiza mediated phosphorus uptake. Since most of the mycotropical plants depend on AM colonization, when they grow under low external P conditions, their yield can be enhanced either by inoculation of more efficient fungal strains in agronomic practices (Sndeepkumar

et al. 2009). Only limited reports are available on the phosphate fertilizer application on fibre yielding plants to know their effect with mycorrhizal inoculation.

The present investigation deals with the effect of four different levels of single super phosphate and rock phosphate on two fibre yielding plants with and without inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomus fasciculatum*).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The seeds of experimental plants *Corchorus capsularis* L. and *Gossypium arboretum* L. were sown in 15 cm  $\times$  15 cm earthen pots containing 4 kg of sterile sandy loam plus sand (3 parts garden soil and 1 part of pure sand). The triplicate sets of pots were maintained with proper controls. Four different levels of super phosphate and super phosphate at the rate of 0.5mg/kg, 1.5 mg/kg and 4.5 mg/kg rock phosphate and super phosphate were provided with 10 g of AM (*Glomus fasciculatum*) mixed inoculum. The inoculation consisted of freshly infected chopped root pieces, spore, sporocaps, mycelia and rhizospheric soil collected from the host *Saghum suanese*. The inoculation was placed to each seedling in the vicinity of the root system.

The parameters such as plant height, dry weight of root and shoots, root/shoot ratio, per cent of colonization, spore number and P content (Jackson 1973) in shoots were determined. Percentage of mycorrhizal colonization in roots was determined after cleaning the roots in 10 % KOH and stained in 0.5% tryphan blue in lactophenol (Phillips & Hayman 1970). Mycorrhizal spores were recovered by wet sieving and decanting technique.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Different levels of rock phosphate and super phosphate were given to experimental plants of jute and cotton as given in Tables 1 and 2. The AM fungus (*Glomus fasciculatum*) inoculated plants grew much taller than non-mycorrhizal plants with P fertilizer application in both the experimental plants. The maximum plant height (67 cm) was observed in *Corchorus capsularis* when treated with 3.5 mg Sp/kg of soil. *Gossypium arboretum* showed a higher growth (54 cm) after treatment with 3 mg Sp/kg of soil with AM fungal inoculation. The application of super phosphate level (3.0 mg Sp/kg soil) influenced the plant height and biomass production with *Glomus fasciculatum* inoculated plants of *Gossypium arboretum*. These plants showed decreased per cent colonization and spore number when treated with Sp and AM fungus. Root shoot ratio was drastically decreased in all the inoculated plants over non-mycorrhizal plants. The P fertilization experiments on *Gossypium arboretum* indicated that mycorrhizal noculation alone aids the effective utilization of rock phosphate than super phosphate. This is because of changing into available from by mycorrhizal *Glomus fasciculatum* which was taken up by the plants for their better growth.

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi produced an increase in absorbing root surface area due to gross changes in morphology of the feeder roots (Hayman & Mosse 1972, Manjunath & Bhagyaraj 1984). The data obtained in the present study indicates that the mycorrhizal colonization of the host i.e., fibre yielding plants were able to utilize soluble phosphates quickly. The super phosphate treatment was more efficient in *Corchorus capsularis*. The trend of lower per cent mycorrhizal colonization with increasing fertilizer (Sp) agrees with the result of previous workers (Sylvia & Schenck 1983, Siverding & Hower 1985). On the other hand arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are known to occur in soils with very high P contents and, thus, among the species of mycorrhizal fungi, there may be different responses to low or high P conditions or P fertilization treatment (Jones 2000).

306

Dur- ation	Treat- ment	Plant (cm)	Dry wt. of shoot (g)	Dry wt. of Root (g)	Root/ Shoot ratio	% AM Coloniza- -tion	Spore Nos./ 50g soil	Shoot P (%)	Root P (%)
30 days	NM	12.2±1.3	0.98±0.0	0.74±0.0	0.83±0.0	-	-	0.12	0.07
	$M + RP_0$	17.2±4.6	0.90±1.0	$0.78 \pm 2.0$	0.53±0.0	45.3±0.0	54.4±2.6	0.19	0.13
	M+RP <sub>1</sub>	13.5±2.6	$1.80{\pm}1.0$	0.91±0.0	0.53±0.0	53.2±2.1	57.9±2.9	0.18	0.09
	M+RP,	22.5±2.3	$1.36{\pm}1.0$	$0.98 \pm 0.0$	$0.62 \pm 0.0$	62.3±3.1	64.7±3.2	0.25	0.15
	M+RP <sub>3</sub>	32.3±2.3	$1.83 \pm 1.0$	$1.98 \pm 1.0$	$0.59 \pm 0.0$	60.3±3.0	54.6±1.9	0.19	0.13
	M+SP <sub>0</sub>	27.3±1.1	$1.00{\pm}1.0$	$0.91 \pm 0.0$	$0.67 \pm 0.0$	$38.9 \pm 1.2$	42.1±1.3	0.23	0.04
	M+SP <sub>1</sub>	32.3±2.1	$1.90{\pm}1.0$	$0.98 \pm 0.0$	$0.44 \pm 0.0$	44.1±2.1	53.2±2.1	0.26	0.12
	M+SP <sub>2</sub>	31.1±2.0	$1.34{\pm}0.0$	$0.80 \pm 0.0$	0.73±0.0	40.2±2.3	$44.9 \pm 3.1$	0.27	0.07
	$M+SP_3$	28.5±1.0	$1.90{\pm}0.0$	$0.83 \pm 0.0$	$0.37 \pm 0.0$	$37.7{\pm}14.0$	49.5±1.2	0.31	0.08
60 days	NM	14.3±1.1	1.20±0.0	0.81±0.0	0.85±0.0	-	-	0.11	0.06
	$M + RP_0$	$15.2 \pm 1.1$	$1.10\pm0.0$	$1.00{\pm}0.0$	0.53±0.0	49.2±1.0	$51.5 \pm 2.2$	0.17	0.09
	M+RP <sub>1</sub>	19.3±2.1	$1.90 \pm 0.0$	$2.06 \pm 0.0$	$0.61 \pm 0.0$	$55.8 \pm 2.2$	57.3±2.2	0.21	0.09
	M+RP,	32.1±2.2	$2.00{\pm}1.0$	$1.31 \pm 0.0$	$0.42 \pm 0.0$	$57.2 \pm 2.1$	$64.2 \pm 2.1$	0.24	0.10
	M+RP <sub>3</sub>	$38.5 \pm 3.2$	$1.98{\pm}1.0$	$0.98 \pm 0.0$	$0.53\pm0.0$	$58.9 \pm 3.1$	$60.0{\pm}1.1$	0.21	0.08
	$M + SP_0$	$29.5 \pm 4.1$	$1.11 \pm 0.0$	$1.21 \pm 0.0$	$0.32\pm0.0$	$35.7 \pm 0.0$	$42.7 \pm 0.0$	0.21	0.07
	$M + SP_1$	33.4±1.1	$1.48{\pm}1.0$	$1.31 \pm 0.0$	$0.39 \pm 0.0$	$38.3 \pm 1.1$	$49.9 \pm 1.1$	0.28	0.08
	$M+SP_2$	$30.0{\pm}1.0$	$1.23 \pm 1.0$	$0.82 \pm 0.0$	$0.62\pm0.0$	$38.2 \pm 2.1$	$42.4{\pm}2.1$	0.24	0.06
	$M+SP_3$	$29.5 \pm 2.0$	$1.81 \pm 0.0$	$0.98{\pm}0.0$	$0.35 \pm 0.0$	$07.5 \pm 1.1$	46.8±3.1	0.24	0.09
90 days	NM	15.6±1.0	1.13±0.0	0.83±1.0	0.75±0.0	-	-	0.12	0.07
	$M + RP_0$	$10.9 \pm 2.1$	$1.12 \pm 0.0$	$0.75 \pm 0.0$	$0.46 \pm 0.0$	47.7±1.0	53.7±3.6	0.25	0.12
	M+RP <sub>1</sub>	22.1±1.0	$1.97{\pm}1.0$	$0.86{\pm}1.0$	$0.57 \pm 1.0$	$52.2 \pm 1.0$	$56.5 \pm 3.2$	0.32	0.13
	$M + RP_2$	$34.5 \pm 3.2$	$2.78 \pm 1.0$	$1.35 \pm 0.0$	$0.41 \pm 0.0$	$60.0{\pm}2.1$	$68.0{\pm}3.1$	0.39	0.19
	M+RP <sub>3</sub>	48.7±2.3	3.21±2.1	$1.10{\pm}0.0$	$0.49 \pm 0.0$	$55.9 \pm 0.0$	62.7±2.1	0.36	0.14
	M+SP <sub>0</sub>	$27.3 \pm 4.1$	$1.28 \pm 1.1$	$1.12\pm0.0$	$0.41 \pm 0.0$	$32.6 \pm 1.1$	43.3±2.0	0.32	0.11
	M+SP <sub>1</sub>	$33.5 \pm 2.1$	$4.92{\pm}1.0$	$1.46 \pm 0.0$	$0.32{\pm}1.0$	$36.7 \pm 1.0$	$46.8 \pm 2.1$	0.34	0.12
	$M + SP_2$	32.2±1.0	$1.90 \pm 0.0$	$0.98{\pm}0.0$	$0.56 \pm 0.0$	$35.3 \pm 0.0$	$42.3 \pm 1.1$	0.26	0.10
	$M+SP_3$	31.1±1.1	$3.55 \pm 0.0$	$1.28{\pm}0.0$	$0.39{\pm}1.0$	32.6±1.0	44.2±2.1	0.34	0.08

Table 1: Effect of different P levels on AM (Glomus fasciculatum) inoculated Corchorus capsularis for 90 days.

 $NM = Non mycorrhizal; M+RP_0 = AM+0.5 mg rock phosphate/kg of soil; M+RP_1 = AM+1.5 mg rock phosphate/kg of soil; M+RP_2 = AM+3.0 mg RP/kg of soil; M+RP_3 = AM+3.5 mg RP/kg of soil; M+SP_0 = AM+0.5 mg super phosphate/kg of soil; M+SP_1 = AM+1.5 mg super phosphate/kg of soil; M+SP_2 = AM+3.0 mg SP/kg of soil, M+SP_3 = AM+3.5 mg SP/kg of soil; M+SP_3 = AM+3.5 mg SP/kg SP/$ 

Studies on *Gossypium arboretum* treated with 3.5 mg Rp/kg soil has the beneficial response with mycorrhizal inoculation. The plants grew much better than non-mycorrhizal plants. This could be easily explainable that low solubility of P source (rock phosphate) is more effective than the plants treated with super phosphate. Plant roots may increase the rate of dissolution of rock phosphate by lowering the *Glomus fasciculatum* with 3.5 mg/kg soil. Rock phosphate was most effective on *Gossypium arboretum* in promoting plant growth. These finding are consistent with the earlier results (Jalai & Thereja 1985, Lakshman 1992, 1996).

Plant inoculation with AM fungi (*Glomus fasciculatum*) and treated with different levels of super phosphate and rock phosphate grown in green house conditions showed enhanced nutrients in shoot when compared with non inoculated plants. These finding are supported by others who reported similar results (Abbott & Robson 1991, Koide & Li 1990). Many rhizospheric soils contain organic acids with low calcium. It could be desirable to practice AM inoculation technique to young nursery plants with different levels of rock phosphate and indigenous mycorrhizal fungi. It many be

Dur- ation	Treat- ment	Plant (cm)	Dry wt. of shoot (g)	Dry wt. of Root (g)	Root/ Shoot ratio	% AM Coloniza- -tion	Spore Nos./ 50g soil	Shoot P (%)	Root P (%)
30 days	NM	13.5±0.0	0.97±0.0	0.72±0.0	0.77±0.0	-	-	0.11	0.06
	$M + RP_0$	14.5±1.1	$1.80{\pm}0.0$	$0.65 \pm 0.0$	$0.46 \pm 0.0$	32.5±2.2	46.6±1.0	0.12	0.05
	M+RP <sub>1</sub>	$17.4 \pm 2.0$	$1.90{\pm}1.0$	$0.95 \pm 0.0$	$0.52 \pm 0.0$	$39.5 \pm 5.2$	$51.2 \pm 1.0$	0.13	0.07
	M+RP <sub>2</sub>	22.7±1.0	$1.10{\pm}1.0$	$0.96{\pm}1.0$	$0.59{\pm}1.0$	$42.8 \pm 2.0$	43.7±3.0	0.30	0.12
	M+RP <sub>3</sub>	$19.5 \pm 2.0$	$1.85 \pm 1.0$	$1.88 \pm 0.0$	$0.55 \pm 0.0$	$44.4 \pm 3.1$	53.5±1.0	0.15	0.13
	M+SP <sub>0</sub>	20.6±1.0	$0.90 \pm 0.0$	$0.94{\pm}1.2$	$0.46 \pm 0.0$	$28.2 \pm 1.1$	45.1±0.0	0.18	0.14
	M+SP <sub>1</sub>	$24.5 \pm 2.1$	$1.20{\pm}1.0$	$0.94{\pm}1.0$	$0.52 \pm 0.0$	34.1±1.1	52.4±1.0	0.28	0.16
	$M+SP_2$	$28.5 \pm 2.0$	$1.84{\pm}0.0$	$0.82 \pm 0.0$	$0.61 \pm 0.0$	$38.2 \pm 1.2$	$46.2 \pm 3.1$	0.25	0.16
	M+SP <sub>3</sub>	$37.2 \pm 1.0$	$1.81 \pm 0.0$	$0.89{\pm}1.0$	$0.57 \pm 0.0$	$32.7 \pm 2.0$	$41.2{\pm}1.0$	0.29	0.18
60 days	NM	14.6±1.1	0.98±0.0	0.79±1.0	0.75±0.0	-	-	0.24	0.06
	$M + RP_0$	$19.9 \pm 1.0$	$0.10\pm0.0$	$1.00{\pm}0.0$	$0.53 \pm 0.0$	$49.2 \pm 1.0$	$51.5 \pm 2.2$	0.17	0.09
	M+RP <sub>1</sub>	$20.5 \pm 1.0$	$0.88 \pm 0.0$	$0.81 \pm 0.0$	$0.55 \pm 0.0$	$52.1 \pm 1.0$	$51.6 \pm 1.0$	0.25	0.12
	M+RP <sub>2</sub>	22.1±0.0	$0.90 \pm 0.0$	0.91±1.0	$0.56 \pm 0.0$	$37.5 \pm 0.0$	54.1±1.1	0.26	0.14
	M+RP <sub>3</sub>	$24.7{\pm}1.0$	$1.96 \pm 1.0$	$0.99 \pm 1.0$	$0.53 \pm 0.0$	$43.9 \pm 2.0$	$55.0{\pm}1.0$	0.21	0.12
	M+SP <sub>0</sub>	$22.3 \pm 1.1$	$0.91 \pm 0.0$	$0.99 \pm 1.1$	$0.51 \pm 1.0$	32.7±1.0	$38.7 \pm 2.0$	0.25	0.14
	M+SP <sub>1</sub>	$27.4{\pm}2.0$	$1.88 \pm 1.0$	$1.21 \pm 0.0$	$0.59 \pm 0.0$	35.3±1.0	$41.4 \pm 3.0$	0.32	0.17
	M+SP <sub>2</sub>	$30.7 \pm 2.0$	$1.93 \pm 1.0$	$1.22{\pm}1.0$	$0.58 \pm 0.0$	$33.2 \pm 1.1$	$42.0{\pm}1.0$	0.23	0.13
	M+SP <sub>3</sub>	43.0±1.0	$1.81 \pm 0.0$	$1.18{\pm}0.0$	$0.55 \pm 0.0$	$29.5 \pm 2.1$	$42.8 \pm 3.0$	0.24	0.15
90 days	NM	17.6±1.0	1.11±0.0	$0.84{\pm}0.0$	0.75±0.0	-	-	0.25	0.11
	$M + RP_0$	$22.9 \pm 0.0$	$1.00{\pm}0.0$	$1.05 \pm 0.0$	$0.56 \pm 0.0$	$38.7 \pm 3.1$	54.1±3.0	0.19	0.12
	M+RP <sub>1</sub>	$24.5 \pm 2.0$	$2.57 \pm 0.0$	$1.36 \pm 0.0$	0.57±1.0	$40.1 \pm 2.0$	54.1±1.0	0.29	0.14
	$M + RP_2$	$29.2 \pm 1.0$	$1.19\pm0.0$	$1.15\pm0.0$	$0.56 \pm 0.0$	$46.2 \pm 3.1$	$55.0 \pm 1.1$	0.22	0.15
	M+RP <sub>3</sub>	28.1±2.3	$1.91 \pm 0.0$	$1.1\ 0\pm0.0$	$0.59 \pm 0.0$	$45.9 \pm 3.0$	55.7±10	0.32	0.14
	M+SP <sub>0</sub>	$25.3 \pm 2.1$	$1.98\pm0.0$	$1.36 \pm 0.0$	$0.30 \pm 0.0$	$38.6 \pm 2.0$	$44.3 \pm 2.0$	0.33	0.14
	$M + SP_1$	$30.5 \pm 2.0$	$1.92 \pm 0.0$	$1.46\pm0.0$	$0.36{\pm}1.0$	$32.7{\pm}1.0$	$43.8 \pm 3.0$	0.36	0.18
	$M+SP_2$	$36.2 \pm 1.0$	$1.19{\pm}0.0$	$1.22\pm0.0$	$0.56 \pm 0.0$	33.1±1.0	$40.3 \pm 1.0$	0.26	0.15
	M+SP <sub>3</sub>	39.3±2.1	$3.25 \pm 0.0$	$1.38{\pm}0.0$	$0.36 \pm 0.0$	$28.6 \pm 2.0$	39.6±1.0	0.34	0.18

Table 2: Effect of different P levels of AM (Glomus fasciculatum) inoculated Gossypium arboretum for 90 days.

 $NM = Non mycorrhizal; M+RP_0 = AM+0.5 mg rock phosphate/kg of soil; M+RP_1 = AM+1.5 mg rock phosphate/kg of soil; M+RP_2 = AM+3.0 mg RP/kg of soil; M+RP_3 = AM+3.5 mg RP/kg of soil; M+SP_0 = AM+0.5 mg super phosphate/kg of soil; M+SP_1 = AM+1.5 mg super phosphate/kg of soil; M+SP_2 = AM+3.0 mg SP/kg of soil, M+SP_3 = AM+3.5 mg SP/kg of soil; M+SP_1 = AM+1.5 mg super phosphate/kg of soil; M+SP_2 = AM+3.0 mg SP/kg of soil, M+SP_3 = AM+3.5 mg SP/kg of soil; M+SP_3 = AM+3.5 mg SP$ 

concluded that mycorrhizal plants absorb P only from the soluble phosphorus pools in soils and that they are unable to utilize source of P to non-mycorrhizal roots or non-mycorrhizal plants.

In tropical countries like India, there is problem of nitrogen deficiency and phosphorus nonavailability and these problems are accentuated particularly in degraded or stressed areas. Plantation of seedlings of fibre species with established AMF infection at necessary stage enables to utilize these lands successfully. Use of mycorrhizal biofertilizers would reduce dependence on chemical fertilizers, which would be a step towards reducing pollution. Over and above these benefits, mycorrhizal biofertilizers are renewable resources, unlike chemical fertilizers, which are based on the use of depletable resources.

#### REFERENCES

Vol. 9, No. 2, 2010 • Nature Environment and Pollution Technology

Abbot, L.K. and Robson, A.D. 1991.Growth stimulation of subterranean clover with vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas, Aust. J. Agric. Res., 28: 639-649.

Allen, M.F. 1991. The Ecology of Mycorrhizae. Cambridge University, New York, 184 pp.

Anonymous 2005. Mycorrhizal technology: Faster and environment-friendly method of growing Jatropha curcas, the biodiesel plant. Mycorrhizal News, 17(2): 15-16.

Hayman, D.S. and Mosse, B. 1972. The role of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal in the removal of phosphorus from soil by plant root. Review of Ecological and Physiological Science, 9: 463-470.

Jackson, M. L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.

Jalali, B.L. and Thareja, M. 1985. Plant growth responses to vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation in soils incorporated with rock phosphate. Indian Phytopathology, 38: 306-310.

Jones, E.J. 2000. The effect of long-term fertilization with organic or inorganic fertilization or mycorrhiza mediated phosphorus uptake in subterranean clover. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 32: 435-440.

Koide and Li 1990. On host regulation of the vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis, New Phytol., 144: 59-65.

Lakshman, H. C. 1992. Development and response of *Terminalia bellirica* to inoculation of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae. Tropical Forestry, 79: 109-114.

Lakshman, H. C. 1996. VA- Mycorrhizal Studies in Some Economically Important Tree Species. Ph.D. Thesis, Karnatak University, Dharwad, India.

Manjunath, A. and Bagyaraj, D. J. 1984. Response of pigeon pea, cow pea to phosphate and dual inoculation of vesiculararbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Rhizobium*. Trop. Agric., 61: 48-52.

Philips, J.M. and Hayman, D.S. 1970. Improved procedures for cleaning and straining parasite and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Trans. Brt. Mycol. Soc., 55: 158-162.

Raju, P.S., Clark, R.B. and Ellis, J.W. 1990. Effect of species of VAM fungi on growth and mineral uptake of sorghum at different temperatures. Plant and Soil, 121: 157-364.

Rhodes, J. P. and Gerdmann, J. W. 1978. Influence of phosphorus nutrition on sulphur uptake by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on onion. Soil Biolgy Biochem., 10: 361-364.

Sandeepkumar, K., Lakshman, H.C. and Hosamani, P.A. 2009. Significance of microbial inoculants to improve some fiber yielding plants. National Conference on Scientific Achievements of SC/ST Scientists and Technologists, 127 pp.

Sieverding, E. and Howler, R.H. 1985. Influence of species of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on cassava field response to phosphorus fertilization. Plant and Soil, 88: 213-221.

Smith, S.E. 1982. Inflow of phosphate into mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants of *Trifolium suberraneum* at different levels of soil phosphate. New Phytol., 90: 293.

Sylvia, D.M. and Schenck, N.C. 1983. Application of super phosphate to mycorrhizal plants stimulated sporulation of phosphorus tolerant vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New. Phytol., 95: 655-661.

Udaiyan, K.V., Sugavanam and Manian, S. 1997. Growth response of wattle (Acacia meransii) seedlings to phosphorus solubilization and inoculations with Glomus deserticola and Rhizobium sp. in non-sterile soil. J. Trop. Forest. Sci., 10: 212-224.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL NEWS**

### **Reprocessing Plastic Waste**

The Department of Chemicals and Petrochemicals (DCP) decided to constitute plastic waste reprocessing fund for promoting plastic waste processing through chemical process in the country. The proposed fund will be mainly utilised in reprocessing post-consumer plastic waste in a systematic manner. The rationale behind the scheme is the perceived need to create awareness about the recyclable properties of plastics and eliminate littering of plastics, while promoting used plastics. In line with this thinking, a charge is proposed to he levied on the manufacture and import of polymers in the country. The rate is envisaged at 0.5% of the ex-factory price of the Cost Insurance Freight (CIF) value of the imported polymers. The proceeds of this cess will go to the proposed fund. The DCP expects the cess amount to be the in range of Rs 150-200 crore per annum. The scheme will initially be operational for a period of three years, and, will be tentatively reviewed in 2011- 12. The DCP is of the view that the proposed scheme, apart from its core function, would also promote collection of plastic wastes, along with storage and segregation in an integrated manner.

DCP, November 3, 2009

#### **Energy Guzzlers**

The government has finalized a blueprint to reduce energy consumption in the nine most energy intensive industrial sectors of the country. The plan mooted under the national Action Plan on Climate Change will soon regulate the energy guzzled by railways, aluminum, cement, pulp and paper, fertilizers and steel industries besides also covering power generation plants.

The plan will cover roughly 750 large industrial installations. Only those plants that consume more than specified levels of energy annually will be targeted. Industrial plants will be expected to attain targets assigned to them within a 3-year period. The target for each plant would be based on the average specific energy consumption.

Indian Building Congress, August 2009