Nature Environment and Pollution Technology An International Quarterly Scientific Journal	Vol. 8	No. 2	pp. 339-342	2009
Original Research Paper				

Planktonic Diversity in the Holy Lake of Pushkar, Ajmer

Vibha Khanna and Indu Yadav*

Department of Botany, Government College, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India *Department of Zoology, Government College, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India

Key Words: Planktonic diversity Phytoplankton Zooplankton Holy lake of Pushkar

ABSTRACT

Planktonic diversity in the holy lake of Pushkar was studied from January 2007 to September 2007. In all 48 genera of phytoplankton and 16 genera of zooplankton were identified. Maximum planktonic density corresponded with summer season, which declined with onset of monsoon. The planktonic diversity along with abundance of cyanophycean and chlorophycean phytoplanktons and the rotifers, copepods and cladocerans indicates the moderately polluted nature of the lake.

INTRODUCTION

Plankton is a heterogenous group of microscopic plants and animals that inhabit aquatic systems. Their type and density is a good indicator of the status of the water body and the quality of water. Plankton communities often exhibit dramatic change in response to different types of pollutants. Hence, the diversity and composition of planktons in aquatic ecosystems serve as a reliable index for biomonitoring of pollution load (Venkateshwarlu 1981). Study of planktonic diversity, hence, is of immense limnological importance. Planktons can be broadly grouped as phytoplanktons and zooplanktons. Phytoplanktons form a major section of primary producers in aquatic systems while zooplanktons occupy the position between autotrophs and other heterotrophs, forming a vital link in aquatic trophic system. The diversity in a community is composed mainly of two components: the number of species or species richness and evenness of suitability of a given species given by their relative abundance, both denoting single term heterogeneity (Lloyd & Gheraldi 1964). The degree of complexity of a community depends upon the number of species and the evenness with which individuals are distributed among species. The diversity maintains the flexibility of biological communities and also provides protection against catastrophes, both natural and human (Patrick 1988).

Study of diversity is of immense utility since quality of an aquatic ecosystem is dependent on the biological diversity of a system (Cairns & Dickson 1971). Quantitative indices of diversity, richness and evenness offer a critical appreciation of plankton population in space and time (Margalef 1968).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Area of study: The holy lake of Pushkar is crescent shaped and is surrounded by magnificent 52 bathing ghats with an area of 389 sq. km. The vegetation around the lake is negligible, but it supports a few submerged hydrophytes and many phytoplanktons. Pushkar is 1580 feet above the sea level and the lake has a filling capacity of about 28 ft. The lake is situated at 29°29.13' N latitude and 74°33.46' E longitude.

Thousands of devotees throng the lake to take a holy dip around the full moon day of Kartik poornima in October-November. The lack of proper monitoring and increase in inflow of tourists has

led to pollution of this water body. The present work was carried out to study the species composition of planktons of Pushkar Lake. The study has been taken up with a view to assess the water quality and trophic status of the lake.

Collection of samples: Water for biological analysis was collected during early hours from four different sites along the banks of the lake: Jaipur Ghat, Bara Ghat, Gau Ghat and Chori pedi Ghat. Surface water was collected from the above sites at monthly intervals at fixed time from January 2007 to September 2007 and analysed.

Plankton study: Surface water samples were collected from the collection sites and 1 litre of surface water from each site was filtered through plankton net of bolting silk number 20 (76μm) and a concentrated sample of 200mL was prepared. 100 mL of sieved residue was transferred to a bottle and preserved in Lugol's solution and 4% formalin for identification using standard keys (Davis 1955, Phillipose 1959, Kudo 1960, Prescott 1962, Kamat 1985). The remaining concentrate (100 mL) was used for estimation of plankton density (APHA 1989).

Plankton density: The density of phytoplankton was determined at monthly intervals using the Lackey's drop method (Trivedy & Goel 1986). The density of zooplanktons was estimated at monthly intervals using the Sedgwick Rafter method for counting.

Plankton diversity: The diversity of planktons was calculated by the Shannon index.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The occurrence of phytoplankton, zooplankton, and plankton density and plankton diversity are given in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. A total of 46 phytoplanktonic algal species belonging to 25 genera have been identified during the course of study which belongs to the four classes viz., Chlorophyceae, Euglenophyceae, Bacillariophyceae and Cyanophyceae. Their maximum density was observed during the summer months (April to June) which declined with the onset of monsoon.

However, maximum phytoplankton diversity in the lake was recorded during March, as the temperatures during the spring season are congenial for most of the genera, while the minimum diversity was recorded in May. The species composition of phytoplanktons exhibits seasonal variation. The members of Chlorophyceae and diatoms were most dominant throughout the study period, while

Chlorophyceae	Euglenophyceae	Bacillariophyceae	Cyanophyceae		
Chlamydomonas (1)	Euglena (3)	Melosira (1)	Microcystis (1)		
Volvox (1)	Phacus (4)	Nitzschia (1)	Anacystis (1)		
Chlorella (1)		Surirella (1)	Merismopedia (3)		
Pediastrum (3)		Navicula (4)	Anabaena (1)		
Chlorococcum (1)			Spirulina (2)		
Oocystis (1)			Oscillatoria (4)		
Ankistrodesmus (3)					
Selenastrum (2)					
Tetradeon (1)					
Scenedesmus (5)					
Tetradesmus (1)					
Cosmarium (1)					
Clostridium (1)					

Table 1: Phytoplanktons in the lake.

Vol. 8, No. 2, 2009 • Nature Environment and Pollution Technology

340

Rotifera		Cladocera		Copepods				
Philodena Brachionus Keratella Asplainchra Filinia			Moina Daphnia Cypris Simocephalus Ceriodaphnia			Diaptomus Encyclops Cyclops Mesocyclops		
the lake.								
January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September
5330 19	6815 24	7550 20	8890 35	1290 60	9000 105	7325 65	6960 15	5480 15
n the lake.								
January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September
1.2016 1.1325	1.2993 1.2014	1.3048 1.2482	1.2858 1.1771	1.1871 1.1816	1.2908 1.1762	1.2406 1.1799	1.2348 1.2400	1.2003 1.1891
	Rotifera Philodena Brachionus Keratella Asplainchra Filinia the lake. January 5330 19 n the lake. January 1.2016 1.1325	Rotifera Philodena Brachionus Keratella Asplainchra Filinia the lake. January February 5330 6815 19 24 n the lake. January February 1.2016 1.2993 1.1325 1.2014	RotiferaClaPhilodenaMaBrachionusDaKeratellaCyAsplainchraSinFiliniaCethe lake.100533068157550192420n the lake.120161.20161.29931.30481.13251.20141.2482	RotiferaCladoceraPhilodena Brachionus Keratella AsplainchraMoina Daphnia Cypris Simocephalu Ceriodaphnithe lake.Image: Simocephalu Ceriodaphnithe lake.Image: Simocephalu Ceriodaphni53306815 247550 2053306815 247550 20n the lake.Image: Simocephalu CeriodaphniJanuaryFebruary 24March 20JanuaryFebruary 24March 20JanuaryFebruary 24March 20JanuaryFebruary 24March 20JanuaryFebruary 24March 201.2016 1.29931.3048 1.24821.2858 1.1771	RotiferaCladoceraPhilodena Brachionus Keratella Asplainchra FiliniaMoina Daphnia Cypris Simocephalus Ceriodaphniathe lake.JanuaryFebruaryMarchAprilMay5330 196815 247550 208890 351290 60n the lake.JanuaryFebruaryMarchAprilMay1.2016 1.29931.3048 1.24821.2858 1.17711.1871 1.1816	RotiferaCladoceraCopPhilodena Brachionus KeratellaMoina Daphnia Cypris Simocephalus CeriodaphniaDiag Ency Cycit Mess Messthe lake.JanuaryFebruaryMarchAprilMayJune53306815 247550 208890 351290 609000 105n the lake.JanuaryFebruaryMarchAprilMayJune1.2016 1.29931.3048 1.24821.2858 1.17711.1871 1.18161.2908 1.1725	RotiferaCladoceraCopepodsPhilodena Brachionus Keratella Asplainchra FiliniaMoina Daphnia Cypris Simocephalus CeriodaphniaDiaptomus Encyclops Cyclops Mesocyclopsthe lake.JanuaryFebruaryMarchAprilMayJuneJuly53306815 247550 208890 351290 609000 1057325 65n the lake.JanuaryFebruaryMarchAprilMayJuneJuly12016 1.3251.2993 1.20141.3048 1.24821.2858 1.17711.1871 1.18161.2908 1.17621.2406 1.1799	RotiferaCladoceraCopepodsPhilodena Brachionus Keratella Asplainchra FiliniaMoina Daphnia Cypris Simocephalus CeriodaphniaDiaptomus Encyclops Mesocyclopsthe lake.JanuaryFebruaryMarchAprilMayJuneJulyAugust5330 196815 247550 208890 351290 609000 1057325 656960 15n the lake.JanuaryFebruaryMarchAprilMayJuneJulyAugust 1200 151 the lake.JanuaryFebruaryMarchAprilMayJuneJulyAugust 1200 151 the lake.1.2016 1.32931.3048 1.24821.1871 1.18161.2908 1.17621.2406 1.17991.2348 1.2408

Table 2: Zooplanktons in the lake.

members of Cyanophyceae and Euglenophyceae were present in maximum number especially during summer months when light and temperature conditions are quite favourable for the development of algal blooms (Lund 1965).

High rotifer population corresponded with the dominance of cyanophytes, indicating poor water quality of the lake. Similar findings were also reported by Beaver et al. (1999) and Paulose & Maheshwari (2007). Furthermore, increased Cladocera and Copepoda densities were associated with increased Chlorophytes abundance, suggesting more favourable water quality (Beaver et al. 1999). Thus, the species composition of Rotifera, Cladocera and Copepoda along with phytoplankton in Pushkar lake indicates the moderately polluted nature of this water body. This is also supported by the plankton diversity which ranges from 1.1325 to 1.3048. The scale of relationship of diversity shows moderate pollution in the range of 1-2.

REFERENCES

- APHA 1989. Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater. 17th Edition, American Public Health Association, Washington, DC.
- Beaver, J.R., Miller Lemke, A.M. and Acton, J.K. 1999. Hydrobiologia, 380: 209-220.
- Cairns, J. Jr. and Dickson, K.L. 1971. A simple method for biological assessment of the effect of waste discharges on the aquatic bottom dwelling organisms. J. Wat. Poll. Control Fed., 775 pp.
- Davis, C.C. 1955. The Marine and Freshwater Plankton. Michigan State University Press.

Kamat, N.D. 1985. Freshwater Diatoms of Maharashtra. Saikripa Prakashan, Aurangabad.

Kudo, R.R. 1960. Protozoology. 4th Ed., Charles C. Thomas Publishers, America.

Lloyd, M. and Gheraldi, R. J. 1964. J. Anim. Ecol., 33: 217-255.

Lund, J. W. G. 1965. The ecology of freshwater phytoplankton. Biol. Rev., 40: 281-293.

Margalef, R. 1968. Perspectives in Ecological Theory. University of Chicago Press, Chicago: pp. 112.

Patrick, R. 1988. Importance of diversity in the functioning and structure of riverine communities. Limnol. Oceanogr., 33: 1304-1307.

Nature Environment and Pollution Technology

Vol. 8, No. 2, 2009

Paulose, P.V. and Maheshwari Kamlesh 2007. Comparative study of Jal Mahal and Ramgarh Lake, Jaipur with special reference to plankton diversity. Proc. National Conference on Limnology, Udaipur, pp. 176-179.

Phillipose, M. T. 1959. Chlorococcales. I.C.A.R., New Delhi.

Prescott, G. W. 1962. Algae of the Western Great Lakes Area. W.M.C. Brown Co. Dubuque, Iowa.

Trivedy, R.K. and Goel, P.K. 1987. Chemical and Biological Methods for Water Pollution Studies. Environmental Publications, Karad, India.

Venkateshwarlu, V. 1981. Algae as indicators of river water quality and pollution. WHO Workshop on Biological Indicators and Indices of Environmental Pollution. 93-100, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India.